Rick Scott
Governor

State of Florida's

DRAFT

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

for

Programs Funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Program Year 2016

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 07/31/2015)

Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes 3

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted 10

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a) 13

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b) 21

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) 24

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j) 26

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j) 27

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230 30

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c) 32

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d) 33

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e) 35

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only) 37

CR-65 – ESG Persons Assisted 54

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes 57

CR-75 – ESG Expenditures 58

Unique Appendices 61

CR-65 -- ESG Persons Assisted (Combined Report) 70

PR-28 Performance and Evaluation Report (PER) Adjustment Explanation 94

PR-28 Performance and Evaluation Report 95

DRAFT

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

This Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) covers the period from July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, which represents the first year of the state of Florida's 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan.

CDBG

The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) Small Cities Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program is composed of four major components: Commercial Revitalization, Economic Development, Housing Rehabilitation and Neighborhood Revitalization. CDBG projects are funded under the low-and moderate-income (LMI) national objective. This ensures that the needs of LMI persons are the primary focus of Florida Small Cities CDBG-funded projects.

DRAFT

The state's goals and objectives are guided by three outcomes required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD): expanding economic opportunities, providing decent housing and creating suitable living environments. CDBG funding priorities were based on unmet needs expressed by eligible communities in the priority community needs survey that was completed in conjunction with the development of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan.

Since CDBG subgrants are awarded with a two-year timeframe for project completion, which may be extended further, DEO utilizes close out data from subgrants closed during the reporting period to assess annual success in meeting goals and objectives. Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reflects the accomplishment and beneficiary data of all closed activites.

HOME

Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC) HOME program have been administered through three programs: a rental development program, a Tenant Based Rental Assistance program (TBRA) and a down payment assistance program. During the program year, FHFC issued one Request for Application (RFA) for developments in rural areas. FHFC closed six new construction rental developments and approved three new construction rental developments for 494 units for $79.7 million in HOME financing, 65 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers for $2 million in HOME funding and 334 new TBRA recipients for $1 million in funding.

Table one includes projects expected during the program year, regardless of the year funding was allocated. Most projects are not completed in the same year funding is allocated.

ESG

The Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) program through the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF), provides funding to sub-grantees for activities such as the operation of emergency shelters, street outreach and homeless prevention and rapid re-housing for homeless persons throughout Florida. Each funded activity has eligible activities that can be implemented using ESG funding to achieve annual goals and objectives.

The expected goals are outlined in the table below, however, ESG's actual accomplishment data is captured in HUD's eCart system. The eCart report can be found on page 70. This year represents the program's first time using the eCart system to capture program data. The data on persons served is collected locally in the Homeless Management and Information System (HMIS). HMIS is used as a tool to coordinate and track service delivery among area providers of homeless services. System generated data, may not reflect actual persons served with ESG due to lack of providers ability to accurately generate the data report to be used in eCart and/or the timeliness of when the reporting data was captured.

DRAFT

Housing Opportunities for Persons With Aids (HOPWA)

Response exceeds number of allowable characters, please refer to Unique Appendices.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Homeownership Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: $43,667,345	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	200	151	75.50%	50	65	130.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: $43,667,345	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	1,050	399	38.00%	210	399	190.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: Activity is included in the $43,667,345 amount above	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1,000	0	0.00%	200	0	0.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: Activity is included in the $43,667,345 amount above	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	2,500	1,234	49.36%	500	344	66.8%
Commercial Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $7,457,425	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	25	14	56.00%	5	14	280.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $34,349,495	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	500	397	79.00%	20	20	100%

DRAFT

Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing	Homeless	ESG: $8,500,000	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	12,370	2,100	16.98%	2,474	2,100	85.00%
Street Outreach	Homeless	ESG: $1,200,000	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	500	300	60.00%	100	300	300%
Housing and Supportive Services	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: $25,257,945	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	857	67	7.82%	38	43	113.16%
Housing and Supportive Services	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: Activity is included in the $25,257,945 amount above	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	10,590	1,229	11.61%	2,118	1,367	64.54%
Housing and Supportive Services	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: Activity is included in the $25,257,945 amount above	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	62	0	0.00%	12	0	0.00%
Housing and Supportive Services	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: Activity is included in the $25,257,945 amount above	Other	Other	4,945	1,916	38.75%	1,830	1,878	102.62%

DRAFT

Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: $28,247,820	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	600	219	37.00%	120	188	157.00%
Neighborhood Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: $42,936,685	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	170,000	24,961	15.00%	6,800	9,063	133.00%
Rental and Homeownership Activities (CHDOs)	Affordable Housing	HOME: $9,188,555	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	200	0	0.00%	40	0	0.00%
Rental and Homeownership Activities (CHDOs)	Affordable Housing	HOME: Activity is included the $9,188,555 amount above	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	200	0	0.00%	40	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

DRAFT

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CDBG

All HUD-funded projects must meet one of three National Objectives:

- Primarily benefit low- and moderate-income persons;
- Prevent or eliminate slum or blight; or
- Meet other community development needs having a particular urgency, because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community and other financial resources are not available to meet such needs.

In the Small Cities CDBG program, the highest priority projects identified are:

DRAFT

- Job creation;
- Flood and drainage (stormwater projects);
- Street improvements;
- Sewer line and treatment plant improvements; and
- Water line and treatment plant improvements.

HOME

The national objective target is primarily to benefit LMI persons. All HOME rental activites are for 60 percent Area Median Income (AMI) and below and all TBRA activities are for 30 percent and below. Homeownership activites can assist up to 80 percent AMI. The highest projects identified are the development of affordable housing units and TBRA.

As FHFC is funding multi-year projects, four rental projects were completed during the fiscal year (FY). We are anticipating meeting the annual goals by the amount of production that occurred during FY 2015 and 2016. FHFC funded 10 new construction rental developments last FY for 509 units for $51.4 million in HOME financing, 86 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers for $2.5 million in HOME funding and 900 new TBRA recipients for $2.2 million in funding. FHFC closed six new construction rental developments and approved three new

construction rental developments for 494 units for $79.7 million in HOME financing, 65 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers for $2 million in HOME funding and 334 new TBRA recipients for $1 million in funding.

ESG

All HUD funded projects must serve persons who meet the definition of homeless or in danger of becoming homeless.

DCF established goals for 2016 ESG funding in consultation with the local continuum of care lead agencies, the federal program focus on rapid re-housing as a best practice model and the demand from the previous grant cycle. Priorities included utilizing 60 percent of the funding for rapid re-housing activies.

The 2016 program objectives were to:

DRAFT

- Create and preserve housing for extremely low income persons, especially homeless families and persons with special needs;
- Support the operation of emergency shelters to temporaily house persons who are homeless and provide essential services to those sheltered; and
- Carryout street outreach to the unsheltered homeless on the streets, to connect them with local temporary housing and services, allowing person to be safely housed.

HOPWA The highest priorities for the state HOPWA program are:

- Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) payments;
- Tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA);
- Permanent housing placement (PHP) activities;
- Resource identification services;
- Supportive services;
- Short-term transitional housing; and
- Grantee and project sponsor's administrative services.

Accomplishments and distribution of funds during the program year are as follows: The state HOPWA Program provided services to 1,229 households during the program year and spent $2,192,765 (includes leveraged funds); 451 of the households were served in the prior year and

374 of those were served in the prior two years. Of the 1,407 individuals assisted, 1,229 received assistance with TBRA, STRMU, Transitional Housing and Permanent Housing Placement services. In addition to these 1,229, another 873 individuals resided in the household. The 1,229 households in private housing received $1,937,999 in TBRA and STRMU assistance. The state HOPWA Program plan is to continue the increase in the percentage of individuals in stable housing by identifying resources to supplement HOPWA client incomes and provide non-HOPWA supportive services. The HOPWA project sponsors estimated that 2,002 households would be served through the HOPWA Program with priority given to persons with low-income families. The actual number of households totaled 1,229 or 62 percent of the estimated goal. This represents a significant decrease from last year's 1,367 households that received HOPWA housing assistance. This decrease is due to technical assistance provided to project sponsors on adhering to federal HOPWA Program requirements as well as allowing more clients to be served through more fiscal accountability. Data collected during the program year reflects that of the 1,229 clients served, 501are in permanent, stable housing and 593 are temporarily stable with a reduced risk of homelessness because of HOPWA housing assistance. Note that of the total clients assisted, 8 chronically homeless are currently in a more stable housing arrangement. This number is significantly down from the 32 identified in the previous program year. The state HOPWA Program's assessment of client stability outcomes resulting from short-term emergency housing assistance is that over 89 percent of households served are in a stable or temporarily stable housing arrangement. About 3 percent of clients are in unstable housing arrangements. The overall outcomes for this program year reflect that over three quarters of eligible clients/households are able to establish and better maintain suitable, stable housing; improved accessibility to health care and other support services; and reduced risk of homelessness among individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS.

DRAFT

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	166	173	479	0
Black or African American	42	223	738	0
Asian	0	3	3	0
American Indian or American Native	0	0	2	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	7	0
Total	**208**	399	**1,229**	**0**
Hispanic	15	146	89	0
Not Hispanic	193	253	1,140	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CDBG

CDBG numbers above reflect the race and ethnicity of those who benefitted from economic development and housing rehabilitation projects.

Categories not included in the chart above:

- Female head of household - 96 beneficiaries

The beneficiaries included in the chart are based on the 22 administrative close outs received during the 2016 program year.

DRAFT

HOME

HOME numbers reflected are from closed out projects. As most of the projects funded during the FY 2016 are multi-year programs, the table does not reflect the total demographics of households assisted. For TBRA, there were 132 White households, 200 Black/African American households and two American Indian or American Native. Thirty-four households assisted were Hispanic and 301 were Not Hispanic. For homeownership programs, 24 homeowners were White, 26 homeowners were Black/African American, two homeowners were Asian and 13 homeowners were Other Multi-Racial. Fifty households were Hispanic and 15 were Not Hispanic. Unit demographic will be lagging as units are completed or leased-up.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA

The numbers reflected are the race and ethnicity of HOPWA eligibile individuals assisted through allowable housing activities for this reporting period.

Categories not included:

- Other/multi-racial – thirteen individuals
- Black/African American and White – one individual
- Transgender Male to Female – fifteen individuals
- Transgender Female to Male – one individual

DRAFT

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source (Federal, State local)	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	Public - Federal	25,790,722	18,061,469.62
HOME	Public - Federal	14,183,792	24,427,588.71
HOPWA	Public - Federal	5,703,257	191,934
ESG	Public - Federal	5,019,268	4,096,120

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Narrative

CDBG

Resources made available for the CDBG program includes the funds allocated by HUD to each program in the 2016 program year as well as program income and recaptured funds, if applicable. Since CDBG grants are awarded with a two-year timeframe for project completion, which may be extended further, DEO uses close out data from grants closed during the reporting period to assess annual success in meeting goals and objectives. Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reflects the accomplishment and beneficiary data of all closed activities. The resources made available for 2016 were $25,790,722.

DRAFT

The amount expended on the contracts that were administratively closed during the program year were $13,439,381.07.

HOME

HOME funded three new multifamily developments in FY 2016 for $12 million. These units will be under construction during FY 2017. HOME assisted 65 homeowners with down payment assistance for $2. million in funding and 334 TBRA recipients for $1 million. Over the course of FY 2016, $24.4 million was disbursed to multifamily units under construction, down payment assistance for eligible borrowers and TBRA. The resources made available for 2016 was $14,183,792.

ESG

A total of $5,019,268 was available under the ESG program to be awarded for the program year. ESG funds were allocated to sub-providers to carryout eligible activities and a total of $4,096,120 of these funds have been expended to provide homeless prevention, emergency shelters and street outreach to eligible participants. There is a total of $1,509,813 left to draw-down as projects have come to a close.

HOPWA

HOPWA resources made available ($5,703,257) and expended ($3,801,990) during the program year include the following:

- Leveraged funds
- Program Income (e.g., repayments)
- HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, transitional housing, STRMU, PHP)
- Supportive services (case management)
- Grantee and project sponsor administration and resource identification

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

CDBG

DEO does not allocate funding resources geographically. Instead, each year a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) is published inviting eligible non-entitlement municipalities and counties to submit applications for funding consideration. There are four program areas: Economic Development, Housing Rehabilitation, Neighborhood Revitalization and Commercial Revitalization. Before submitting an application, the local government must conduct a public hearing to receive input on what they consider is the community's highest priority need. Based on this information, the local government selects a project for funding and prepares an application for one of the four program areas. (A local government can submit two applications if one of them is for Economic Development funding.) After the application is drafted, the local government must conduct a second public hearing to allow its residents to comment on the proposed activities included in the draft applications. Following the second public hearing, the local government finalizes the application and submits it to DEO. CDBG staff reviews the applications received in each program category and ranks them from the highest to the lowest score. Funding is awarded from the highest to the lowest ranked application until there are no funds available.

DRAFT

HOME

During the program year, FHFC issued one Request for Applications (RFA) for developments in rural areas. Each application is scored by FHFC staff and ranked based on the criteria in each individual RFA. For example, the rural RFA's ranking criteria included giving preference for developments in small counties, developer experience in HOME funding and leveraging percentage. It is the intent of FHFC to fund developments in various locales, so a County Award Tally was included in the ranking criteria, whereas the first eligible application in a county is funded and for a second eligible application in that county to be funded would mean that no other eligible applications in different counties remain. Developments were funded in the following counties: Okeechobee, Walton and DeSoto.

ESG

DCF does not allocate funding geographically, but rather sets as a funding priority, those non-formula

jurisdiction areas that do not receive direct awards from HUD for ESG programs. The funds may be used throughout the state in these areas on eligible ESG funded projects. As a second tier level of funding, those formula jurisdictions may apply for funding and if funds are available after all others, non-formula jurisdictions may apply for funding.

HOPWA

Response exceeds number of allowable characters, please refer to Unique Appendices.

DRAFT

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, State and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG

Although DEO does not require matching funds, leverage was encouraged by awarding additional points on the competitive application. Of the 22 subgrants closed during the 2016 reporting period, $902,575.12 in other funds were leveraged by the Small Cities CDBG program Recipients. Most of the funding came from private businesses which benefited from neighborhood revitalization grants.

Majority of the funds leveraged were for economic development projects that created jobs. Participating parties committed funds to build the facilities they needed to locate or expand their businesses. Local funds, as well as loan and grant funds from other government agencies, are often committed to infrastructure projects so that the community would experience a greater benefit.

The state of Florida budgets general revenue to match administrative dollars. Based on the 2016 Annual Action Plan, $602,716 in general revenue will be used to match that same amount in HUD funds.

DRAFT

HOME

FHFC issued one RFA during FY 2016. For the Rural RFA, a scoring criteria was linked the amount of match each development included in their financing. To be considered as match, the funds had to be a cash contribution that could include state appropriations, housing trust funds, private donations or below market interest rate loans.

ESG

Under the ESG program, there is no leverage requirement, however, recipients are required to match dollar-for-dollar, the amount of the ESG award. The state passes the balance of the match requirement to the recipients of its ESG funds. They must meet the dollar-for-dollar match requirements under the grant program and those recipients that may lack the capacity to meet this match requirement, the state passes its $100,000 match exception to these providers.

HOPWA

Approximately $154,244 in leveraged funds were primarily used for additional housing activities, including permanent housing placement and other supportive services to address the emergency and long term housing needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS in the service area. Leveraged funds from the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B and state general revenue, as well as other resources (e.g., other community-based organizations, grants, in-kind resources, client contributions toward rent/deposits)

were used for the additional housing activities during the program year.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	27,305,015
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	1,544,715
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	28,849,730
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	3,906,769.65
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	24,942,961

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

DRAFT

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
11883	08/24/16	0	268,023	0	0	0	6,400,000	553,449
11887	10/27/16	0	0	0	0	0	15,500,000	691,266
11892	12/29/16	300,000	0	0	0	0	0	300,000

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period $	Amount received during reporting period $	Total amount expended during reporting period $	Amount expended for TBRA $	Balance on hand at end of reporting period $
0	4,590,969.43	2,672,839.52	399,333.94	1,918,129.91

Table 7 – Program Income

DRAFT

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	**Minority Business Enterprises**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	34,217,553.13	0	0	0	112,000	34,105,553.13
Number	21	0	0	0	1	20
Sub-Contracts						
Number	98	0	0	1	25	72
Dollar Amount	31,921,824.29	0	0	176,725.50	6,125,125.00	25,625,314.79

	Total	**Women Business Enterprises**	**Male**
Contracts			
Dollar Amount	34,097,553.13	0	34,097,553.13
Number	20	0	20
Sub-Contracts			
Number	0	1	98
Dollar Amount	0	40,000	31,881,824.29

DRAFT

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	**Minority Property Owners**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired and the cost of acquisition		
Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	**Total**	**Minority Property Enterprises**				**White Non-Hispanic**
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	**Asian or Pacific Islander**	**Black Non-Hispanic**	**Hispanic**	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

DRAFT

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	37	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	712	464
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	1	0
Total	**750**	**464**

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	500	334
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	300	464
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	120	188
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	**920**	**986**

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

DRAFT

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The annual goals indentified in the table above were established in the Five-Year Consolidated Plan.

CDBG

DEO's assessment of goals and objectives is based on completing projects that meet the national objective to benefit LMI individuals and households. During program year 2016, the one-year goal for the rehab of existing units was 120, DEO exceeded that goal by admistratively closing out eight housing rehabilitation projects which totaled 188 existing units.

HOME

As FHFC is funding multi-year projects, four rental projects were completed during the fiscal year (FY). We are anticipating meeting the annual goals by the amount of production that occurred during FY 2015 and 2016. FHFC funded 10 new construction rental developments last FY for 509 units for $51.4 million in HOME financing, 86 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers for $2.5 million in HOME funding and 900 new TBRA recipients for $2.2 million in funding. FHFC closed six new construction rental developments and approved three new construction rental developments for 464 units for $79.7 million in HOME financing, 65 Down Payment Assistance loans to eligible homebuyers for $2 million in HOME funding and 334 new TBRA recipients for $1 million in funding.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

CDBG

DEO is working toward achieving its goal of providing decent housing for extremely-low, low- and moderate-income households. During program years 2011-2015, DEO proposed a goal of 336 housing units to be completed. DEO exceeded that goal by rehabilitating 629 housing units. Future CAPERs may reflect the type of success that has been experienced in previous program years.

DRAFT

HOME

As the rental developments complete and program outcomes are provided from the current fiscal year, the projection goals made at the start of the plan will show they were obtained. We expect future year outcomes to support all goals going forward.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	95	362
Low-income	80	88
Moderate-income	13	4,362
Total	188	**4,812**

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

CDBG

The CDBG actual numbers indicated in table 13 reflect income levels for persons served by housing rehabilitation projects.

HOME

The HOME TBRA program serves 30 percent AMI and under and the HOME Rental program serves 60 percent AMI and below. These two programs account for most of the households served in FY 2016. Once the rental developments lease-up and complete, the outcomes will support the goals provided at the beginning of this plan.

ESG- not applicable to table 13.

HOPWA- not applicable to table 13.

DRAFT

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

CDBG- not applicable.

HOME- not applicable.

ESG

The ESG program has used the Street Outreach component under the eligible activities to reach those persons who are homeless and living on the street. Additionally, the Continuum of Care (CoC) agencies work with local area providers to address the local needs of the homeless in their respective areas. This includes working with mainstream providers to assess and provide individual needs.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

CDBG- not applicable.

HOME- not applicable.

DRAFT

ESG

The ESG program uses funding under the emergency shelter component to provide temporary shelter and essential services while in shelter to homeless persons throughout the local Continuums. Providing shelter is accomplished through assessing the individual needs at intake through the use of a coordinated assessment system and moving quickly to house them. Area providers work through the coordinated intake system to provide an array of services to address the needs of the homeless.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities and corrections programs and institutions); and receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

CDBG- not applicable.

HOME- not applicable.

ESG

The local CoC establishes a network with local providers of mental health and substance abuse services to connect homeless persons with needed services. In addition, as part of this process, the newly created housing liaison positions, which link providers of the coordinated intake system and community-based providers of substance abuse and mental health care services to help identify available shelters through HMIS. Service providers are part of the CoC's area providers network and work with services providers to help identify the needs of area homeless persons.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

CDBG- not applicable.

DRAFT

HOME

In 2016, FHFC issued an RFA to applicants piloting an approach to allocate resources to eligible Non-Profit Applicants in counties with populations up to 250,000 in order to support the development of Permanent Rental Housing of Homeless individuals and families that is appropriate for small and rural communities. In the beginning of 2017, FHFC issued an RFA proposing development of Permanent Supporitve Housing for Homeless individuals and families, that also include a portion of units for Persons with Special Needs as a result of a Disabling Condition located in the Medium and Large Counties. The intent of the RFA is to help communities address the significant need for Permanent Supprotive Housing for some of their most vulnerable individuals that are chronically homeless or living in more restrictive settings due to the lack of stable housing and coordinated access to appropriate community-based healthcare and supportive services. This RFA proposes to utilize LIHTC and state housing trust funds.

FHFC objective is to ensure that, to the extent possible, financing to develop housing to serve Homeless households is provided across the state. To this end, the Corporation has divided the state into four regions with relatively equal Homeless populations, based on the Homeless household counts outlined in the 2016 statewide Rental Market Study, ensuring that all counties that are part of one regional Homeless Continuum of Care are located in the same region. The Corporation's approach is to target financing to each region over time. The regions are: the North Florida Region, the Central Florida Region, the Tampa Bay Region and the South Florida Region..

ESG

The local CoC assess the average length of stay in shelter and through the CoC plan identify areas in which the local service providers can address the length of shelter stay based on available resources and the number of persons seeking shelter; and how to better utilize the system to provide quality care and services for the homeless.

HOPWA- not applicable.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

CDBG

DEO did not fund public housing. No applications for such funding were received from eligible local governments.

HOME

FHFC funds 19 local Public Housing Authorities (PHA) in the TBRA program. All TBRA activity is carried out by the PHA.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

DRAFT

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

CDBG- not applicable.

HOME

Public housing residents are eligible to participate in homebuyer and down payment assistance programs offered through FHFC as long as they meet all eligibility requirements.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

CDBG- not applicable.

HOME- not applicable.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

CDBG

The 2015 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice plan contains a detailed list of actions for the state and local jurisdictions to minimize potential impediments to fair housing choice. Some specific examples of recommendations to help eliminate barriers to affordable housing include:

- Publishing fair housing materials on agency websites in different languages;
- Coordinating with the Florida Commission on Human Relations in conducting annual workshops on fair housing;
- Reviewing local comprehensive land use plans and amendments to ensure these plans contain policies regarding affordable housing;
- Requiring grant recipients to conduct quarterly fair housing activities; and
- Having a local fair housing coordinator to address complaints.

HOME- not applicable.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

DRAFT

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

DEO serves the needs of its subgrantees through the grants it awards. Applications that are submitted by these local governments are based on comments received at a local public hearing that allows citizens to identify their priority community needs and submit eligible projects for funding consideration.

HOME

FHFC serves on the State Council on Homelessness and provides two important ways local governments and emerging nonprofits can learn more about and receive support on affordable housing development issues. The State Catalyst program provides training and technical assistance on federal and state affordable housing programs, including HOME. FHFC contracts with a nonprofit provider for this service. The Predevelopment Loan program provides revolving loan funds to emerging nonprofits wanting to get into housing development, as well as PHAs interested in housing development and redevelopment. The program provides predevelopment loan funding to get a project started, as well as technical assistance at no cost to the organization.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA

The Florida Department of Health (FDOH), whom administers the HOPWA Program, remained involved in board activities of the Florida Supportive Housing Coalition. The Coalition and FDOH are committed to creating long-term housing solutions for people with special needs, including persons living with HIV/AIDS in Florida. The board promotes the development of partnerships to create effective approaches to ending homessness and solving the housing crisis in Florida. The statutorily created State Council on Homelessness, a statewide workgroup of members that include the coalition board, members of multiple statewide partner coalitions and representatives from various state agencies, including the FDOH, continued to convene.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

DEO requires that all applications for housing rehabilitation projects to determine the age of the house. Any home that was contructed before January 1, 1978, must be tested for lead based paint and appropriate measures undertaken to safely remove and dispose of the paint in accordance with HUD requirements.

HOME

Currently FHFC only funds new construction with HOME.

DRAFT

ESG

Requires all shelters and receipients of funds under rental assistance and housing stabilization services to comply with lead paint requirements under the 24 CFR 576.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

Florida's anti-poverty strategies are carried out by programs administered by several state agencies. Agency rules and related regulations set out the goals and objectives of the programs.

DEO provides reemployment, job search and career planning assistance, as well as resources to assist existing businesses and help entrepreneurs establish businesses in Florida and create jobs. The Small Cities CDBG program funds economic development projects and requires that 51 percent of the jobs created be made available to low- to moderate-income workers. Job training is also provided, at no expense to the employee, if skills above a high school education are required.

HOME

Pursuant to HUD regulations 24 CFR Part 135, FHFC carries out activities and procedures to ensure that employment and other economic opportunities generated by HOME funds to the greatest extent feasible and consistent with

existing federal, state and local laws and regulations, be directed to low- and extremely low-income persons, particularly those who are recipients of government assistance for housing and to business concerns which provide economic opportunities to low- and extremely low-income person. Recipients will be encouraged to make efforts to reach Section 3 residents and business concerns through employment, training opportunities and contracting.

ESG

The program does not have measures in place to reduce the number of poverty families, however, it does encourage self-sufficiency to those person who are recipients of ESG program benefits as a means of reducing the potential to return to homelessness or risk of homelessness.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG

The CDBG Program is in the Division of Community Development within DEO. There are eight grant manager positions, which includes a team leader in the Small Cities program and a program manager to supervise this staff. There are four additional staff members within the CDBG program. This staff includes an employee to review environmental assessments, an employee for budget issues, an employee to enter data in the IDIS reporting system, prepare and submit the various HUD required reports including the Annual Action Plan, the Section 3 reports and the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report and an employee for Training and Technical Assistance. A Bureau Chief supervises the CDBG program along with other programs for rural communities. The Small Cities staff conducts annual workshops on the funding application process and workshops for subgrant recipients to explain the subgrant agreement requirements and how to meet those requirements. The number of staff and the program's training and technical assistance efforts have been sufficient to implement the Small Cities CDBG program.

DRAFT

HOME

The daily operations of the HOME Investment Partnerships program (HOME) is in the Homeownership Department of Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC). The Assistant Director of Homeownership and the Federal Loan Program Manager are the daily contacts and serve as Grant Managers for the program with additional oversight provided by the Director of Homeownership and the Executive Director of FHFC. Additionally, FHFC contracts with three service entities which provide a whole suite of services including credit underwriting, construction and permanent loan servicing and compliance monitoring for FHFC's Rental and Homeownership portfolios. FHFC also contracts with four environmental firms to provide the environmental assessments. The grant managers work closely with the contracted servicer and environmental providers, review all documents and ensure all conditions are adequately adhered to during the development of the housing. FHFC contracts with three firms to provide all the legal and closing documents for our funded developments. A Multifamily Programs staff person also provides an additional level of review of credit underwriting and loan closing documents to ensure compliance with all applicable state and federal requirements. The grant managers enter all required set-up and funding information into the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS) while the Loan Servicing Department (consisting of six staff) handles the responsibility of the drawdowns. FHFC's Quality Assurance Department (two staff) monitors its

contracted service providers to ensure compliance with the contractual obligations to FHFC as well as state and federal laws and regulations, FHFC rules and procedures. FHFC also has an Asset Management Department consisting of seven staff that works closely with its contracted Compliance Monitors to verify funded developments are providing safe, decent, affordable housing by monitoring financial, physical and occupancy compliance with regulatory documents.

ESG- not applicable.

HOPWA- not applicable.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG- not applicable.

HOME- not applicable.

ESG

Program requirements of the ESG program require the local continuums of care to establish and use a coordinated assessment system, which requires coordination and collaration among service providers to address the needs of the homeless. Local PHA's are encouraged to participate in the coordinated care system of deliverable as a means of addressing the needs of the homeless or those at risk of becoming homeless.

DRAFT

HOPWA- not applicable.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA

Activities related to the suggested solutions to overcome the perceived impediments that can be implemented through the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity, the Florida Department of Health, the Florida Department of Children and Families and the Florida Housing Finance Corporation include publishing fair housing resource materials on agency websites in different languages, coordinating with the Florida Commission on Human Resources in conducting annual workshops on fair housing, reviewing local comprehensive land use plans and amendments to ensure these plans address the housing needs of all the residents of the community, requiring certain grant recipients to conduct quarterly fair housing activities and having a local fair housing coordinator to address complaints.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG

DEO uses a regional approach that divides the state into seven areas for managing the program and monitoring subgrant recipients. The grant managers are assigned to regions so that they can become familiar with the area's needs, provide community-based technical assistance and resolve local subgrant-related issues.

Monitoring begins when the grant manager makes a site visit to a potential subgrant recipient. DEO has checklists specific for each program area that are used at the site visit. The grant manager verifies the accuracy of all information that was provided in the local government's Application for Funding. Audits from the previous two years are reviewed to ensure that there are no unresolved audit findings that could impact the administration of a CDBG project. If applicable, the grant manager visits all service/project areas included in the application to confirm the activities and beneficiaries information. At least two on-site monitoring visits and two desk monitorings are conducted for each subgrant during the term of the agreement. DEO has 23 monitoring checklists that the grant managers use, as needed, to monitor subgrant activities. The grant manager conducts additional on-site monitoring visits as needed.

Following each monitoring visit, the grant manager prepares a monitoring report. The report lists any deficiencies that were discovered during the monitoring visit and the actions that the subgrantee must take to resolve them. The recipient must respond to all findings and to those concerns that require corrective action.

Finally, DEO uses a closeout checklist that serves as a final desk review of the project. Contracts are administratively closed when all program requirements have been fulfilled and final payment has been made. Final closeout occurs when all required financial audits have been provided to the DEO and any audit findings and citizen complaints have been resolved.

DRAFT

HOME

FHFC contracts with three servicer entities which provide a whole suite of services including Credit Underwriting, Construction and Permanent Loan Servicing (including Federal Labor Standards Monitoring) and Compliance Monitoring for FHFCs Rental and Homeownership portfolios. FHFCs Quality Assurance Department monitors its contracted service providers (including the three servicer entities) to ensure compliance with the contractual obligations to FHFC as well as state and federal laws and regulations, FHFC rules and procedures. FHFC also has an Asset Management Department, works closely with its contracted Compliance Monitors to verify funded developments are providing safe, decent, affordable housing by monitoring financial, physical and occupancy compliance with regulatory documents.

The initial management review for rehabilitation/acquisition developments, which have units occupied at the time of loan closing, is conducted prior to or shortly after loan. The initial management review for new construction/rehabilitation/acquisition developments, with no units occupied at the time of loan closing, is conducted within 120 days following the leasing of any HOME units. Subsequent management reviews are conducted according to HUD regulation 24CFR 92.540(d), On-site inspections-HOME assisted rental housing, throughout the period of affordability.

The management review and physical inspection includes a report on the following:

- Examination of Tenant Files;

- Administrative Procedures (including tenant selection, security, program reporting and insurance requirements);
- Physical Inspection;
- Interior Items;
- Exterior Items;
- Miscellaneous Items (development amenities);
- Visual Inspection; and-Miscellaneous Observations.

During the period from July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, FHFC conducted 131 on-site inspections of HOME developments.

ESG

All of the ESG funding is provided to sub-recipients through grant awards. In order to carry out program requirements, including compliance and oversight, the state is divided into regions and each region has a regional level contract administration team. Sub-recipients are monitored by regional staff in person and through the submission of documents related to carrying out program/project activities. All written standards and budgets are approved by the Office of Homelessness to ensure compliance with federal regulations. Funds are disbursed through the state comptroller's office, which provides oversight to ensure compliance with program requirements as well state expenditure guidelines and monitoring is conducted by DCF's Inspector General's Office to ensure compliance. Additional monitoring is conducted by DCF's Audit Unit to ensure financial compliance with OMB Circular requirements.

DRAFT

HOPWA

Response exceeds number of allowable characters, please refer to Unique Appendices.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA

Following the approved Citizen Participation Plan, the 2016 CAPER was made available to the public on September 7, 2017. A public notice was published for 15-days in the Florida Administrative Register and posted on each agency's website. The 15-day public comment period dates are September 7, 2017, through September 22, 2017. A public hearing date is September 22, 2017.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

CDBG

DEO has not changed the objectives of its CDBG program and continues to strategically use CDBG funds to maximize

leverage for infrastructure and public facility improvements, housing rehabilitation, public services, commercial revitalization and planning and administration activities. There is a continuous need for CDBG funds to fulfill objectives in all the above categories hence no changes are recommended based on Florida's experiences.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Response exceeds number of allowable characters, please refer to Unique Appendices. (page 68)

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Pursuant to HUD regulation 24 CFR 92.351, the Corporation has adopted affirmative marketing guidelines and enforces the guidelines by requiring the HOME program Agreement and the Firm Commitment Letter to include the development's affirmative marketing strategies. Prior to the firm commitment issuance, the marketing strategy is carefully analyzed and a market study or feasibility report is required if adequate information is not found in the development's appraisal.

DRAFT

The Corporation's affirmative marketing policy consists of the following elements:

Informing the Public, Owners and Potential Tenants: Acceptable methods for informing the public, owners and potential tenants about the applicable Federal Fair Housing Laws and the HOME program's affirmative marketing policy may include, but are not limited to, providing a copy of this policy in all media releases, using the Equal Housing Opportunity logo and slogan in all media releases and explaining the policy, in general, to the media, property owners and tenants involved with the HOME program.

Advertising Vacant Units: In order to meet the obligation toward the state's affirmative marketing policy requirements, each property owner should have a policy that clearly specifies or suggests affirmative marketing activities such as the use of commercial media to advertise vacant units, local community contacts for potential tenants, or the use of the Equal Housing Opportunity logo or slogan. The policy must clearly define the recordkeeping obligations of the property owners.

Requirements of Owners for Outreach Efforts: Outreach efforts will include procedures to be used by owners to inform and solicit applications from persons in the housing market area who are not likely to apply (defined in general as those who are not the race/ethnicity of the residents of the neighborhood in which the unit is located). The procedures may include, but are not limited to, the use of community organizations, churches, employment centers, fair housing groups, Public Housing Authorities, or housing counseling agencies specifically chosen because

they provide services to, or have as members, persons in the group or groups least likely to apply. The owner's affirmative marketing policy should clearly specify who is responsible for the various necessary activities.

Record Keeping: The state requires recipients to maintain records that describe efforts taken by recipients and by owners to affirmatively market units. The state will use the records to assess the results of the efforts taken.

Assessment of Affirmative Marketing Efforts of Owners: The state recipient shall ensure compliance of its affirmative marketing requirements with the owner by use of an agreement (Firm Loan Commitment) that shall be binding for a specific period of time (Affordability Period) from the date of completion. The state recipient will assess the owner's affirmative marketing plan and the results of the marketing plan. It will also determine what corrective actions will be taken when an owner fails to follow these affirmative marketing efforts.

Additional State Requirements: State recipients will certify that they adopt the state HOME Entitlement Areas' Participating Jurisdictions) affirmative marketing procedures described above through a certification in their local HOME Program Description and/or Consolidated Plan as well as maintain the required records and reports in accordance with 24 CFR 92.351.

The State Participating Jurisdiction shall review and approve the affirmative marketing policy initially and monitor each state recipient's performance yearly in order to ensure that the local policy is being carried out and the state recipient is in compliance with 24 CFR 92.351. state recipients' performance of their affirmative marketing policy requirements will be used as a factor in approving future participation in the HOME program.

DRAFT

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

FHFC receipted $4,590,969.43 in Program Income and expended $2,672,839.52 for the fiscal year. Program income was used across all of our HOME programs, including rental, TBRA and homeownership down payment assistance. For the HOME Rental portfolio, a total of 19,373 people disclosed their race. Of those people, 9,122 (47 percent) were African American, 8,429 (42 percent) were White and 2,086 (11 percent) were of other races. Hispanic ethnicity was disclosed for 11,072 people. Ages were disclosed for 31,367 people and of these 4,035 (13 percent) were age 62 and older. For TBRA, there were 132 White households (40 percent), 200 Black/African American households (59.8 percent), and 2 American Indian or American Native (0.2 percent). Thirty-four households assisted were Hispanic (10 percent) and 301 were Not Hispanic (90 percent). For homeownership programs, 24 homeowners were White (37 percent), 26 homeowners were Black/African American (40 percent), two homeowners were Asian (3 percent), and 13 homeowners were Other Multi-Racial (20 percent). Fifty households were Hispanic and 15 were Not Hispanic.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

FHFC issues RFA utilizing many different sources of financing including state housing trust fund, MMRB, LIHTC that focuses on different market segment. For example in December 2016, FHFC issued an RFA for LIHTC Financing for the Preservation of Existing Affordable Multifamily Housing Developments and funded seven developments. In February 2017, FHFC issued and RFA for LIHTC Financing to Provide Affordable Multifamily Rental Housing that is

Part of Local Revitalization Inititaves and funded two developments. In March, 2017, FHFC issued an RFA for LIHTC and Gap Financing for Homeless Persons and Persons with a Disabling Condition and funded three developments.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	10	983
Tenant-based rental assistance	10	67
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	10	91
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	10	266

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

DRAFT

Narrative

Accomplishments and distribution of funds during the program year are as follows: The state HOPWA Program provided services to 1,229 households during the program year and spent $2,868,816 (includes leveraged funds); 451of the households were served in the prior year and 374 of those were served in the prior two years. Of the 1,407 individuals assisted, 1,229 received assistance with TBRA, STRMU, Transitional Housing and Permanent Housing Placement services. In addition to these 1,229 another 873 individuals resided in the household. The 1,229 households in private housing received 1,937,999 in TBRA and STRMU assistance. The state HOPWA Program plan is to continue the increase in the percentage of individuals in stable housing by identifying resources to supplement HOPWA client incomes and provide non-HOPWA supportive services.

The HOPWA project sponsors estimated that 2,002 households would be served through the HOPWA program with priority given to persons with low-family incomes. The actual number of households totaled 1,229 or 62 percent of the estimated goal. This represents a significant decrease from last year's 1,367 households that received HOPWA housing assistance. This decrease is due to technical assistance provided to project sponsors on adhering to federal HOPWA program requirements as well as allowing more clients to be served through more fiscal accountability.

Data collected during the program year reflects that of the 1,229 clients served, 501 are in permanent, stable housing and 593 are temporarily stable with a reduced risk of homelessness because of HOPWA housing assistance. Note that of the total clients assisted, eight chronically homeless are currently in a more stable housing arrangement. This number is significaly down from the 32 identified in the previous program year. The state HOPWA Program's assessment of client stability outcomes resulting from short-term emergency housing assistance is that

over 89 percent of households served are in a stable or temporarily stable housing arrangement. About 3 percent of clients are in unstable housing arrangements. The overall outcomes for this program year reflect that over three quarters of eligible clients/households are able to establish and better maintain suitable stable housing; improved accessibility to health care and other support services; and reduced risk of homelessness among individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS.

DRAFT

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	FLORIDA
Organizational DUNS Number	809396450
EIN/TIN Number	593451366
Indentify the Field Office	JACKSONVILLE
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms.
First Name	Mia
Middle Name	M
Last Name	Parker
Suffix	0
Title	Deputy Director

DRAFT

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	1317 Winewood Blvd
Street Address 2	Bldg 3, Room 201
City	Tallahassee
State	FL
ZIP Code	32399-
Phone Number	8507174356
Extension	0
Fax Number	8504871361
Email Address	Mia.Parker@myflfamilies.com

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2016
Program Year End Date	06/30/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FLORIDA
City: TALLAHASSEE
State: FL
Zip Code: 32301
DUNS Number: 809396450
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 125482

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MERIDIAN BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE
City: GAINESVILLE
State: FL
Zip Code: 32608
DUNS Number: 097830582
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 29589

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NORTH BREVARD CHARITIES SHARING CENTER
City: Titusville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32780-6661
DUNS Number: 848663449
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 39855

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF ARCHDIOCESE OF MIAMI, INC.
City: Wilton Manors
State: FL
Zip Code: 33305-1323
DUNS Number: 032779431
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 77567

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: DIRECTIONS FOR MENTAL HEALTH, INC.
City: CLEARWATER
State: FL
Zip Code: 33764
DUNS Number: 177760899
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOMELESS EMERGENCY PROJECT, INC.
City: CLEARWATER
State: FL
Zip Code: 33755
DUNS Number: 623937356
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 12553.17

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities of Central Florida, Inc.
City: Cocoa
State: FL
Zip Code: 32922
DUNS Number: 013577143
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 51071.72

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: EscaRosa Coalition on the Homeless, Inc.
City: Pensacola
State: FL
Zip Code: 32505-7128
DUNS Number: 800325040
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 47662

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Treasure Coast Homeless Services Council
City: Vero Beach
State: FL
Zip Code: 32960-3385
DUNS Number: 064340763
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 91038.91

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Augustine Society
City: St. Augustine
State: FL
Zip Code: 32084
DUNS Number: 867701872
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Jewish Family & Children's Services
City: Sarasota
State: FL
Zip Code: 32237
DUNS Number: 795256627
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army Sarasota
City: Sarasota
State: FL
Zip Code: 34230
DUNS Number: 965290430
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: United Way Suwannee
City: Lake City
State: FL
Zip Code: 32025
DUNS Number: 877054536
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 75122.42

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: United Methodist Copperative
City: Clearwater
State: FL
Zip Code: 33778
DUNS Number: 038713975
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: City of Dade City
City: Dade City
State: FL
Zip Code: 33526
DUNS Number: 827472874
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 38155

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Marion County Homeless Council
City: Ocala
State: FL
Zip Code: 34478
DUNS Number: 791540896
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Wright Foundation
City: Marianna
State: FL
Zip Code: 32446-2970
DUNS Number: 015037309
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Connections
City: Jacksonville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32202-2759
DUNS Number: 175080183
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 48405

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Christian Care Center
City: Leesburg
State: FL
Zip Code: 34748-4961
DUNS Number: 138328294
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Family Promise of Brevard
City: Rockledge
State: FL
Zip Code: 32956-2666
DUNS Number: 078877559
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 77410

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Another Way Chiefland
City: Lake City
State: FL
Zip Code: 32056-0128
DUNS Number: 807642079
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NW FL Comprehensive Services for Children d/b/a Families Count (Okaloosa Walton)
City: Fort Walton Beach
State: FL
Zip Code: 32548-5200
DUNS Number: 160862079
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NW FL Comprehensive Services for Children d/b/a Families Count (Santa Rosa)
City: Milton
State: FL
Zip Code: 32570-5905
DUNS Number: 160862079
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities NW FL (Escambia/Santa Rosa)
City: Pensacola
State: FL
Zip Code: 32503-4518
DUNS Number: 049560167
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 131146.89

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Emergency Services & Homeless Coalition St Johns
City: St Augustine
State: FL
Zip Code: 32085-3422
DUNS Number: 049976660
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 64300.23

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Coalition on Homelssness, FL
City: Bradenton
State: FL
Zip Code: 34205-7665
DUNS Number: 101926173
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Crosswinds Youth Services
City: Cocoa
State: FL
Zip Code: 32922-6411
DUNS Number: 104511514
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 45187

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Capital City Youth Services
City: Tallahassee
State: FL
Zip Code: 32310-8136
DUNS Number: 165917386
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 47662

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army Manatee County
City: Bradenton
State: FL
Zip Code: 34206-1179
DUNS Number: 051037950
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities Gainesville Region
City: Gainesville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32609-3738
DUNS Number: 859755209
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 1600

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Clark's House, Inc.
City: Lakeland
State: FL
Zip Code: 33801-6082
DUNS Number: 968286208
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Lake Community Action Agency
City: Eustis
State: FL
Zip Code: 32726-3438
DUNS Number: 606560985
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 95280

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Three Rivers Legal Services, Inc.
City: Gainesville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32601
DUNS Number: 09351785
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 199710

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Johns County Board of Commissioners
City: St Augustine
State: FL
Zip Code: 32084-8637
DUNS Number: 073236739
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 53623.31

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LifeStream Behavioral Center
City: Leesburg
State: FL
Zip Code: 34749-1000
DUNS Number: 077589612
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 47662

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Francis House, Inc
City: Gainesville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32604-0391
DUNS Number: 967302451
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Okaloosa/Walton Homeless CoC, Opportunity, Inc.
City: Fort Walton Beach
State: FL
Zip Code: 32548-3420
DUNS Number: 794524251
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Peaceful Paths
City: Gainesville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32653-2149
DUNS Number: 623366176
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: South Brevard Women's Center
City: Melbourne
State: FL
Zip Code: 32935-5315
DUNS Number: 039122473
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Help Now of Osceola
City: Kissimmee
State: FL
Zip Code: 34742-0370
DUNS Number: 839845919
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army
City: Ft Lauderdale
State: FL
Zip Code: 33312-1504
DUNS Number: 125109525
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Broward Partnership for the Homeless
City: Fort Lauderdale
State: FL
Zip Code: 33311-7229
DUNS Number: 025654083
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Florida Keys Outreach Coalition, Inc.
City: Key West
State: FL
Zip Code: 33041-4767
DUNS Number: 175946326
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 84361.97

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Samuel's House, Inc.
City: Key West
State: FL
Zip Code: 33040-4486
DUNS Number: 053881970
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Alpha Omega Miracle Home, Inc.
City: St Augustine
State: FL
Zip Code: 32086-5164
DUNS Number: 873046846
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Safety Shelter of St. John's County dba Betty Griffin House
City: St Augustine
State: FL
Zip Code: 32084-4258
DUNS Number: 027972244
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Flagler Ecumenical Social Service dba Family Life Center
City: Bunnell
State: FL
Zip Code: 32110-2058
DUNS Number: 004469087
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 61905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Salvation Army, Daytona
City: Daytona Beach
State: FL
Zip Code: 32117-7117
DUNS Number: 048226864
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 64905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Lee Conlee House, Inc.
City: Palatka
State: FL
Zip Code: 32178-2558
DUNS Number: 152109414
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Sanctuary Mission, Inc.
City: Homosassa
State: FL
Zip Code: 34446-1246
DUNS Number: 073630050
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Refuge at Jumper Creek
City: Bushnell
State: FL
Zip Code: 33513-5541
DUNS Number: 052628108
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Florida Key's Children's Shelter, Inc.
City: Tavernier
State: FL
Zip Code: 33070-2005
DUNS Number: 786296228
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 47662

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Genesis House, Inc.
City: Melbourne
State: FL
Zip Code: 32902-2044
DUNS Number: 967013244
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Brevard CARES, Inc.
City: Rockledge
State: FL
Zip Code: 32955-5307
DUNS Number: 833255529
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 101905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: 90 WORKS - Bay
City: Pensacola
State: FL
Zip Code: 32501-3902
DUNS Number: 160862079
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Halifax Urban Ministries
City: Daytona Beach
State: FL
Zip Code: 32114-3235
DUNS Number: 806990735
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 74753

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Charlotte County Homeless Coalition, Inc.
City: Murdock
State: FL
Zip Code: 33938-0157
DUNS Number: 796762011
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 75239.41

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Citrus County Board of County Commissioners
City: Lecanto
State: FL
Zip Code: 34461-6308
DUNS Number: 072548563
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities of Northwest Florida
City: Pensacola
State: FL
Zip Code: 32502-4601
DUNS Number: 049560167
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 59103.37

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Recovery House of Central Florida
City: Sanford
State: FL
Zip Code: 32771-2759
DUNS Number: 094308959
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40655

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Lake City Catholic Charities Bureau
City: Lake City
State: FL
Zip Code: 32055
DUNS Number: 083190603
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Gainesville Catholic Charities
City: Gainesville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32609
DUNS Number: 083190603
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 77305

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Augustine Catholic Charities Bureau, Inc.
City: St. Augustine
State: FL
Zip Code: 32084
DUNS Number: 859755209
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Comprehensive Housing Resources
City: Port Charlotte
State: FL
Zip Code: 33952-5417
DUNS Number: 968501192
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: United Way of Citrus County
City: Crystal River
State: FL
Zip Code: 34429-4523
DUNS Number: 878896182
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 101280

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Alachua County Coalition for the Homeless
City: Gainesville
State: FL
Zip Code: 32609-2875
DUNS Number: 150712862
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 72642.81

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Mission in Citrus, Inc.
City: Crystal River
State: FL
Zip Code: 34428-5902
DUNS Number: 012399930
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 75905

DRAFT

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Charlotte County Board of County Commissioners
City: Port Charlotte
State: FL
Zip Code: 33948-1068
DUNS Number: 040223463
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76905

CR-65 – ESG Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0

Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 17 – Shelter Information

DRAFT

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

DRAFT

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 20 - Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	**0**

Table 21 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

Table 22 – Special Population Served

ESG

ESG's accomplishment data is compiled through HUD's eCart system and is not required to be entered into IDIS. The eCart *Combined Report* is included at the end of the CAPER. (pages 73-96)

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0

Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 23 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

ESG- not applicable.

CR-75 – ESG Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	**2015**	**2016**
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	619,241	576,952	420,250
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	**619,241**	**576,952**	**420,250**

DRAFT

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	**2015**	**2016**
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	1,061,696	1,000,475	774,090
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	**1,061,696**	**1,000,475**	**774,090**

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	**2015**	**2016**
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	1,734,189	2,038,620	1,813,957
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	**1,734,189**	**2,038,620**	**1,813,957**

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	**2015**	**2016**
HMIS	54,104	57,484	56,128
Administration	207,333	220,229	285,190
Street Outreach	133,893	209,276	159,839

Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures

DRAFT

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
10,919,938	3,676,563	3,893,760	3,349,615

Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	4,105,670	5,103,610	4,799,734
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	**4,105,670**	**5,103,610**	**4,799,734**

Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2014	2015	2016
24,928,952	7,782,233	8,997,370	8,149,349

Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

DRAFT

Unique Appendices

CR-05 – Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)
This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

HOPWA

The Florida Department of Health (FDOH) administers the state Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) program. The HIV/AIDS Section, Patient Care Unit, is the designated office within the department, which has the lead responsibility for management of the program. The department contracts with local community organizations and county health departments as project sponsors to provide HOPWA services in 11 Ryan White Part B consortium geographical areas throughout the state. These areas receive state HOPWA funds at the local level for services in 52 of Florida's 67 counties. There are approximately 23,984 persons living with HIV/AIDS in the state program's jurisdiction. The areas are mainly rural with a high concentration of low-income individuals (minimum wage or Social Security Disability Income), the majority of which rent housing and are at risk of becoming homeless. The remaining 16 counties not included in the state program service area qualify as eligible metropolitan statistical areas (EMSAs) and receive funding directly from HUD. There are currently 11 federally established EMSA jurisdictions in Florida; however, 5 EMSAs (Cape Coral, Deltona, Lakeland, Palm Bay, and Sarasota) re-designated their funds to the state HOPWA program to be administered by the FDOH in the same manner and for the same activities as the state HOPWA program.

DRAFT

The goals of Florida's housing program are to prevent the condition of homelessness from occurring to individuals or families with HIV disease; or if already homeless, to transition the individuals or families back into stable housing as soon as possible as well as to create a strategy for long-term housing stability for persons living with HIV/AIDS. The program places emphasis on the connection between housing assistance and appropriate supportive services that are available through the HOPWA program and other funding sources, such as Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B and state general revenue. Therefore, supportive services that contribute to stable housing are readily available to HOPWA clients.

Florida's Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B state and local planning bodies are responsible for providing recommendations to the department relating to HOPWA eligibility requirements, as well as other requirements that may be more restrictive than those outlined in the federal regulations and state guidelines. Since the FDOH, as the state HOPWA Program grantee, is ultimately responsible and accountable to HUD for the state HOPWA Program activities, the department reviews the recommendations within the parameters of the state and federal requirements before implementation.

The state HOPWA Program's policies and procedures document was completed during this reporting year. This document includes guidance on Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), which is a newly added housing activity to the state HOPWA Program starting in the 2016-2017 contract year. This document was included in the Request for Proposal for the new 2016-2022 contract cycle. The state HOPWA Program provided three web-based trainings in August of the reporting year. The trainings were a three-part series consisting of a state HOPWA Program update and overview; a discussion of TBRA; and discussion on income and rent calculations for TBRA and an overview of monitoring and compliance. These webinars were recorded. Collaborative Solutions, Inc., provided technical assistance to allow for the expansion of TBRA statewide. A comprehensive housing needs assessment document was also produced by the technical assistance provider that will be used for the program.

Actions taken during the year to address the special needs of persons who are not homeless but require supportive services were done in conjunction with the Florida Supportive Housing Coalition (FSHC). The FDOH continued to

remain involved in board activities of the FSHC. The FSHC and the department are committed to creating long-term housing solutions for people with special needs, including persons living with HIV/AIDS in Florida. The board promotes the development of partnerships to create effective approaches to ending homelessness and solving the housing crisis in Florida. The FDOH continues a shared commitment with FSHC focusing on the strategic plan, which is periodically updated to address the special needs of persons who require supportive housing services for stability. In addition to eligibility and case management staff assessing supportive services needs and ensuring that these services are provided through Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B and state general revenue programs, the state HOPWA Program continued to collaborate with the FSHC to expand supportive housing for persons with special needs. Major federal and state funding streams were identified by the FSHC to be used to provide community, tenancy and employment supports for clients. This information is provided to local staff and partners to address the needs of persons who are not homeless but require supportive housing, as well as assisting persons who are homeless. The statutorily created State Council on Homelessness, a statewide workgroup of members that include the coalition board, members of multiple statewide partner coalitions and representatives from various state agencies, including the department, will continue to convene.

Coordination with other housing and supportive services agencies was vital in achieving the state HOPWA Program goal to prevent homelessness and provide clients with a stable living situation. Project sponsors remain committed to the goal of fostering housing stability for members of the affected community by maintaining a relationship with the local Housing Care Continuum and the Homeless Coalition. Project sponsors also attend and contribute to Homeless and Hunger Networking meetings as well as participate in the development of the Comprehensive Homeless Assistance Plan for the state of Florida and the Tallahassee area Consolidated Plan. The state HOPWA Program contractually requires each sub-recipient/sub-contractor to designate a representative to participate in the local homelessness planning process and provide local homelessness advocates with information about HOPWA as needed. Also, by coordinating HOPWA services through HRSA funded Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B consortia and planning bodies, HOPWA clients are afforded access to supportive services funds under Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B and state general revenue programs including, but not limited to, medical care, transportation, insurance, dental, counseling services and emergency financial assistance.

DRAFT

Other accomplishments during the reporting period are:

The FDOH's Pre-Release Planning Program funds the Florida Department of Corrections (FDC) planners to link men and women to initial medical appointments in their home communities as they are released from prison. Regional Planners continued to coordinate Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B services through a combined effort of evaluating an inmate's needs (i.e., medical appointments/resources, housing, transportation and substance abuse issues). Regional Planners also helped facilitate care through additional Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part B coordinators and other community-based organizations to access further resources such as Medicaid, Social Security and Medicare after being released to the community. The Regional Planners, along with the dedicated Linkage Coordinator, ensured that follow-up appointments with services have been met. To accomplish this, they continued contact post release with the inmate to ensure that medical appointments and labs have been maintained and if not, worked on barriers to achieve success in appointments kept. The Pre-Release Planning Program continued to support five planners statewide, as well as one direct Linkage Coordinator specifically for the Miami-Dade/Broward area. Data from 2016-2017 demonstrated that having a staff member evaluate the inmate and provide linkage services had a positive impact on an inmate's continuity of HIV care. Throughout the state in 2016-2017, 55 percent of initial linkage appointments made by the Pre-Release Planners were kept by the new clients. Clients returning to Miami-Dade and Broward counties received intensive case management for up to one year by the Community Linkage Coordinator with a demonstrated 95 percent linkage success rate. The funding level for this program is $570,000 per year to maintain staff and program services. Housing for this population continues to be a challenge for local providers.

The Corrections Infections Workgroup (meets quarterly) continues to focus on program development, education and advocacy on issues related to HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs) and Tuberculosis (TB) in

correctional settings. This workgroup provides an opportunity for strategic partners in Florida government agencies such as the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, the Florida Department of Children and Families' Substance Abuse and Mental Health Program Office, the FDOH HIV/AIDS Section, the Correctional Medical Authority, the Florida Department of Corrections and other strategic partners to facilitate advancements and concerns in the health care corrections environment, as well as discuss changes in their programs and how to collaborate on behalf of their common clients who are incarcerated and soon to be released.

The Florida Supportive Housing Coalition (FLSHC) continues its commitment to create long-term housing solutions in Florida for people with special needs. The annual strategic planning meeting was held and priorities for the upcoming year were established. The coalition will continue to focus on fundraising, advocacy for the homeless, supportive housing for persons with special needs and relevant legislation. The 2016 Florida Institute on Homelessness and Supportive Housing conference presented by the Florida Coalition for the Homeless and the Florida Supportive Housing Coalition was held on October 5-7, 2016. The conference theme was From Dream to Reality, Creating Permanent Solutions for All.

FloridaHousingSearch.org is used as a statewide resource to find available and affordable rental housing.

The state HOPWA Program will continue to take advantage of training opportunities and technical assistance available through HUD webinars, live meetings and calls. The state HOPWA Program would benefit from the direct delivery of in-house IDIS training for key staff involved in IDIS activities. The state HOPWA Program will maintain open communication with our Jacksonville HUD office Community Planning and Development representative who provide on-going program support and technical assistance.

DRAFT

In addition to collaborating with other specialty programs to increase resources, the state HOPWA Program continued cooperative efforts in working with agencies providing community, tenancy and employment supports. The state HOPWA Program continued to provide resources through available federal and state funding to address housing needs of people with HIV/AIDS who are leaving jails and prisons. Through focused efforts provided by HOPWA Housing Coordinators, 65 individuals obtained an income-producing job during this reporting period. State partners continue to approach land/property owners and developers to consider building affordable rental housing units to increase the low-income housing inventory in Florida. A new statewide resource to find and qualify clients for affordable available rental housing, previously mentioned, is being used. The area providing Tenant-Based Rental Assistance continues to be a successful addition to the housing continuum.

All case management providers will continue to increase their knowledge of the resources that are available for housing and support services through federal, state and local programs. Therefore, staff competence regarding community, housing and employment, as well as other social, health and economic services, are enhanced by training, technical assistance and monitoring. The state HOPWA Program will continue to work toward improving project sponsor staff knowledge and competence in housing-related issues, such as housing affordability strategies, resources, fair housing practices and tenant-landlord issues. The state HOPWA Program continues its efforts to enhance and expand capacity of housing resources, ensure community-wide strategies and partnerships to include closer collaboration with Continuums of Care and Public Housing Authorities to provide affordable housing that is accessible to persons living with HIV/AIDS and to promote client independence. The state HOPWA Program continues to seek leveraged resources that will significantly expand programs that work. The state HOPWA Program will continue to encourage housing providers to pursue all housing grant funding opportunities, as well as appropriate modifications of housing programs to address housing instability, as this remains a significant barrier to employment and health care. The state HOPWA Program will use the comprehensive statewide housing needs assessment developed by the technical assistance provider to include consumers, advocates, providers and FDOH/HOPWA staff.

The state HOPWA Program will continue to focus on key areas of inter-agency coordination and improving access to

needed services through available resources and the strengthening of state and local partnerships.

The state HOPWA Program performs an annual monitoring of each contracted project sponsor. The results are available to the public through a public records request.

Direct any comments or questions regarding the program to Mr. Craig Reynolds, State HOPWA Program Coordinator; Ms. Cheryl Urbas, State Housing Coordinator; and Mrs. Sharon Linzy, Reporting Program Analyst.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

The state HOPWA Program's annual area funding allocation is based on the cumulative number of reported living HIV/AIDS cases in the 11 geographical areas through December 31 of the calendar year, each area's proportionate share of the cumulative number of living HIV/AIDS cases, utilization rates and available funds. These project sponsors are local community organizations as well as county health departments. FDOH drafted a Request for Proposal consisting of a four-year contract cycle in which 2016-2017 was the last year of that contract cycle. Program funds were distributed geographically according to the state of Florida 2016 Annual Action Plan. The state HOPWA Program had two faith-based organizations, six community-based organizations and three planning councils providing either direct services or via sub-contract. During the last half of the 2016-2017 contract year, the FDOH drafted a new Request for Proposal consisting of two three-year contract periods for 11 project sponsors for 2016-2022.

DRAFT

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

HOPWA

HUD has developed and provided clear guidance to HOPWA grantees and project sponsors on HUD expectations in terms of monitoring provider performance. These monitoring elements provide benchmarks that meet both federal legislative and regulatory guidelines and represent sound practice. The *HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide* provides HOPWA grantees with detailed guidance in fulfilling HOPWA grants management responsibilities regarding the oversight of project sponsors (Note: In the context of the *HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide*, "grantee" refers to the Florida Department of Health, HIV/AIDS Section, or its designee, including the DOH contract manager). This guidance is a tool used by grantees for grants management responsibilities to achieve the HOPWA program's housing stability performance outcome measures of maintaining stable housing arrangements, reducing risks of homelessness and improving access to care. However, this guide is not only for the HOPWA grantee; project sponsors/subcontractors also review this guide because the guide is structured to provide them with an understanding of their responsibilities and how they will be monitored on them.

HUD relies on HOPWA grantees to maintain an active partnership with project sponsors in using HOPWA resources in a responsive and accountable manner. This guide provides grantees and project sponsors with an understanding of the various federal laws and regulations that govern the use of HOPWA resources and helps them conduct internal reviews to determine current compliance efforts and to identify actions in need of further attention. This guidance focuses on basic operations and compliance with HOPWA and other federal regulations. It provides

grantees and project sponsors with the tools and information required to understand and comply with federal grants management requirements.

The applicable HUD monitoring elements from the *HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide* have been incorporated into the state HOPWA Program Contract Monitoring Tool. It is the project sponsor's/subcontractor's and contract manager's responsibility to be familiar with these elements.

Ultimately, the grantee is responsible for all project activities and project sponsors funded with HOPWA, as well as responsible for ensuring that their respective project sponsors carry out activities in compliance with all applicable requirements in 24 CFR, Part 574.500(a). Effective management and oversight is fundamentally a collaborative process among the grantee, project sponsor and HUD, with all entities working towards achieving program goals. The primary objective is to establish a constructive relationship which allows the grantee, the project sponsor and HUD to work together to manage limited resources and nurture quality housing programs for low-income individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS.

Ongoing oversight and performance assessments helps the grantee and the project sponsor ensure that projects are effective and that sponsors run them in compliance with program guidelines. With active oversight of performance, financial systems and specific activities, the grantee can determine if a project is effectively meeting the housing-related needs of persons living with HIV and AIDS in a community. The grantee performs two types of monitoring: desk monitoring where financial and other information may be reviewed via mail/e-mail or by performing on-site monitoring visits.

When scheduled, Florida state HOPWA Program staff arrive to perform an on-site monitoring visit. Upon their arrival, an appropriate space is provided by the project sponsors that allows for review of confidential client files, interviews with agency staff and reviews of any documentation that was not provided prior to the monitoring visit. It should be noted that desk monitoring as well as on-site monitoring may be scheduled at any time to assure compliance.

DRAFT

The FDOH has a comprehensive contract management process that ensures contracting methods are administratively efficient and clear to contract managers. State HOPWA Program contract monitoring must be in accordance with federal and state requirements as previously referenced. DOH contract managers at the local level are responsible for enforcing HOPWA contract terms and conditions, including monitoring project sponsors for compliance with performance standards, Florida Statutes, federal regulations and FDOH policy. FDOH's process encourages optimal project sponsor performance. Project sponsors are required to have an on-site monitoring at least once during the contract year. A written report is submitted to project sponsors clearly identifying strengths, weaknesses and areas of concern. Adverse findings are addressed with corrective action plans or other appropriate measures. Corrective action requirements are specific with timeframes and suggested methods for correction. FDOH staff provides follow-up site visits and technical assistance. Non-compliance with required corrective action(s) may result in delayed or no reimbursements for project sponsor services, or termination if noncompliance issues remain beyond the corrective action timeline indicated. FDOH uses a standardized monitoring tool for programmatic monitoring of HOPWA project sponsors.

Consistent with FDOH policy, all project sponsors must be monitored by the contract manager at least once during the contract period. HOPWA contracts should be monitored according to the following:

- New project sponsors shall be monitored within the first three months after start of the contract.
- Previous project sponsors shall be monitored within the first six months after start of the contract.
- Renewals or subsequent years (in the case of multi-year contracts) shall be monitored at least once during the contract year.

Additional monitoring may be conducted as needed to ensure HOPWA project sponsors comply with contract requirements. The HIV/AIDS Section's state HOPWA Program staff monitors county health departments (CHDs) serving as the project sponsor.

The need for corrective actions discovered during a monitoring must be clearly noted along with a reasonable time frame allowed for resolution. Documentation reflecting resolution of corrective action(s) must be reported to the contract manager. The contract manager and project sponsor must follow the appropriate corrective action procedures that are currently in place.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Current Development Name	ID Number	Noted Issues (If Blank no noted issues)
Amistad	11363	
Anderson Oaks	1511	Issues noted – in correction period
Azalea	4024	
Ballet Villages I	240	
Ballet Villages II	349	
BCC	10738	
Beach Village at Palm Coast I	10468	
Bethany Court	680	
Bonita Cove	10581	
Brittany Bay	3067	
Cabana Club	94DRHR-013	Issues noted – in correction period
Captiva Cove	11066	
Captiva Cove II	11535	
Caribbean West	93HD-008	
Casa Matias	10582	Issues noted – in correction period
Casa San Juan Bosco	11003	
Casa San Juan Bosco II	11751	
Casa Santa Marta	9506	
Cedars Court	10486	
Charlotte Crossing	10470	
Chatham Pointe Senior (Stenstrom Road Senior Village)	10487	
Colony Lakes	93HD-020	

DRAFT

Colton Meadow	10484	
Coral Village	1148	
Cornell Colony	11747	
Cottondale Village	10471	
Cove at Saint Lucie	97HR-015	
Covington Club	10472	
Crossroads	10583	
Cyndy's Place	11750	
Cypress Cove	10465	
DeSoto Towers	9505	
Eastwind	314	
Edison Terraces II	1604	
El Mira Sol Gardens	3004	
Everglades Farmworker Village	9873	Issues Noted – In correction period
Fountains at Falkenburg	10467	
Glen Oaks	708	
Graceland Manor	10473	
Grand Reserve at Zephyrhills	10476	
Green Gables - Orlando	1600	
Greentree Senior	11748	
Hammock Harbor	10474	
Heron Cove	9503	
Heron Woods	2612	
Hidden Cove - Orlando	3003	
Hilltop Landings (Dade Oaks)	11537	
Homes of Renaissance Preserve I	10485	
Homestead Colony	93HD-002	
Joseph L Lee Gardens	1507	
Key Plaza	1169	
Kings Terrace	11028	
Lake Jennie II	1599	
Lancaster Villas	1598	
Landings at Timberleaf	10737	
Little Oaks	10475	
Lutheran	9504	Awaiting post-treatment reinspection by service professional
Madison	94DRHR-022	
Madison Cove	681	
Magnolia Village	4026	
Main Street Village	2650	
Marian Manor	9746	
Mayfair Village	10578	

DRAFT

Mira Verde	1058	
Mirabella	10477	
Monterra	10846	Issues Noted – In Correction Period
Mystic Woods I	238	1
Naranja Villas	94DRHR-025	
Nature Walk	9783	
New Hope Community II	1610	
Normandy	5011	
North Central Heights	9785	
North Central Heights II	10464	
Notre Dame	10478	
Oakdale	10479	
Orchid Grove	10480	
Ospreys Landing	93HD-027	
Palafox Landing	10481	Issues Noted – In correction period
Palm Villas	94DRHR-005	
Palms West I	239	
Pana Villa	10482	
Park City at Golden Lakes	93HD-015	
Park Crest Terrace II	1509	
Park Place – Hialeah	1612	
Parkside Garden	9015	
Parkway Place	10483	
Pebble Creek	1512	Issues Noted – In 90 day correction period.
Pelican Cove - Miami Gardens	11536	
Pine Berry Senior	10459	
Pine Meadow - Gainesville	11048	
Pinnacle Grove	3386	
Ponce Harbor	3068	
Portillo	1170	
Praxis of Deerfield Beach II	1605	
Pueblo Bonito	1616	
Pueblo Bonito II	2960	
Pueblo Bonito III	5025	
River Oaks - Florida City	94DRHR-031	
River Park Place	1149	
San Jose of Seminole	92HR-022	
Sand Dunes	11049	
Seven Palms	2649	
Shady Acres	313	
Solabella	11017	

DRAFT

Sorrento at Miramar	10847	
St. Martin's Place	11433	
Steven E. Chaykin	10562	
Stoddert Place	96DHR-007	
Sutton Place	10488	
Townsend Terrace	4979	
Tradewind Hammocks	3066	
Tropical Isle	312	
Veranda Breeze I	9788	
Veranda Breeze II	10576	
Villa Capri	11065	
Villa Seton	4177	
Village at Delray	10489	
Villas at Lake Bonnet (Bonnet Shores)	10469	
Villas of Capri	96DHR-016	Issues Noted – correction period extended
Vista 400	10577	
Vista Mar	10490	
VOA Hillsborough 1 - North 50th	9	
VOA Hillsborough 2 - Fifteenth	10	
VOA Hillsborough 3 - East Miller	11	
Whispering Pines - Fellsmere	3846	Issues Noted – correction period extended
Whistler's Green	1508	
Whistler's Park At Lakeside	2648	
Winchester Gardens	94DRHR-011	
Woodlawn Terrace	3861	
Zarragossa Street Housing	1614	Issues Noted – In 90 day correction period.

DRAFT

CR-65 -- ESG Persons Assisted (Combined Report)

See next page

DRAFT

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

5a. HMIS or Comparable Database Data Quality Q5a

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	0	0
Last name	0	0
SSN	69	35
Date of Birth	4	6
Race	2	6
Ethnicity	6	7
Gender	0	4
Veteran Status	0	18
Disabling condition	2	136
Living situation (Head of Household and Adults)	2	38
Relationship to Head of Household	0	72
Destination	1	72
Client location for project entry	0	5

DRAFT

Q6. Persons Served

6a. Report Validations Table Q6a

a. Total number of persons served	**1317**
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)	**757**
c. Number of children (under age 18)	**550**
d. Number of persons with unknown age	**10**
e. Total number of leavers	**612**
f. Number of adult leavers	**348**
g. Total number of stayers	**705**
h. Number of adult stayers	**409**
i. Number of veterans	**36**
j. Number of chronically homeless persons	**116**
k. Number of adult heads of household	**621**
l. Number of child heads of household	**25**
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25	**72**
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children	**31**

DRAFT

6b. Number of Persons Served Q6b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Adults	757	416	337	0	4
b. Children	550	0	524	23	3
c. Don't know / refused	4	0	0	0	4
d. Information missing	6	0	0	0	6
e. Total	1317	416	861	23	17

Q7a. Households Served

7a. Number of Households Served Q7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	650	363	259	22	6

7b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	186	102	68	13	3
April	256	141	97	15	3
July	129	59	59	11	0
October	112	48	53	11	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements

9a. Number of Persons Contacted Q9a

DRAFT

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?	146	10	75	1	60
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	1	0	1	0	0
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	147	10	76	1	60

9b. Number of Persons Engaged Q9b

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?	143	10	72	1	60
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	1	0	1	0	0
b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total persons engaged	144	10	73	1	60
c. Rate of engagement (%)	98%	100%	96%	100%	100%

DRAFT

Q10. Gender

10a. Gender of Adults Q10a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	267	200	67	0
b. Female	490	216	270	4
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	757	416	337	4

10b. Gender of Children

Q10b

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	285	263	19	3
b. Female	265	261	4	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn’t identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	550	524	23	3

10c. Gender of Persons Missing Age Information

Q10c

DRAFT

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	1	0	0	0	1
b. Female	5	0	0	0	5
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn’t identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	4	0	0	0	4
h. Subtotal	10	0	0	0	10

10d. Gender by Age Ranges Q10d

	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	553	285	25	221	21	1	0
b. Female	760	265	91	380	19	3	2
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn’t identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
h. Total	1317	550	116	601	40	4	6

Q11. Age Q11

DRAFT

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	192	0	182	9	1
b. 5 - 12	242	0	233	8	1
c. 13 - 17	116	0	109	6	1
d. 18 - 24	116	53	61	0	2
e. 25 - 34	208	78	130	0	0
f. 35 - 44	169	66	102	0	1
g. 45 - 54	146	108	37	0	1
h. 55 - 61	78	72	6	0	0
i. 62+	40	39	1	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	4	0	0	0	4
k. Information missing	6	0	0	0	6
l. Total	1317	416	861	23	17

Q12. Race & Ethnicity

12a. Race Q12a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	650	256	380	10	4
b. Black or African-American	588	138	435	7	8
c. Asian	8	1	6	0	1
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	6	5	1	0	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	2	3	0	0
f. Multiple races	52	11	35	6	0
g. Don't know / refused	2	2	0	0	0
h. Information missing	6	1	1	0	4
i. Total	1317	416	861	23	17

12b. Ethnicity Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	1154	369	753	20	12
b. Hispanic/Latino	144	42	99	3	0
c. Don't know / refused	6	3	2	0	1
d. Information missing	7	1	2	0	4
e. Total	1317	416	861	23	17

DRAFT

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions

13a1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Entry Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	158	107	51	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	10	9	1	0	0
c. Drug abuse	47	27	20	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	15	15	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	43	30	13	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	6	6	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	34	16	18	0	0
h. Physical disability	106	68	38	0	0

13b1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Leavers Q13b1

DRAFT

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	90	57	33	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	7	7	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	36	22	14	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	7	7	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	20	12	8	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	5	5	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	15	3	12	0	0
h. Physical disability	45	33	12	0	0

13c1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers Q13c1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	65	50	15	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	1	1	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	9	6	3	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	8	8	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	11	7	4	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	2	1	0	1	0
g. Developmental disability	19	12	7	0	0
h. Physical disability	56	33	23	0	0

Q14. Domestic Violence

14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History Q14a

DRAFT

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	133	56	77	0	0
b. No	572	320	227	22	3
c. Don't know / refused	1	1	0	0	0
d. Information missing	80	39	36	0	5
e. Total	786	416	340	22	8

14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence Q14b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	85	28	57	0	0
b. No	31	18	13	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	1	1	0	0	0
d. Information missing	16	9	7	0	0
e. Total	133	56	77	0	0

Q15. Living Situation Q15

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Homeless situations					
a1. Emergency shelter	99	29	70	0	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	21	7	14	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	321	240	69	7	5
a4. Safe haven	4	2	2	0	0
a5. Interim housing	1	1	0	0	0
az. Total	446	279	155	7	5
b. Institutional settings					
b1. Psychiatric facility	5	5	0	0	0
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	6	6	0	0	0
b3. Hospital (non-psychiatric)	3	1	2	0	0
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	4	4	0	0	0
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	0	1	0	0
bz. Total	19	16	3	0	0
c. Other locations					
c01. PH for homeless persons	1	0	1	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	4	3	1	0	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	1	0	1	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	89	33	55	0	1
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	2	1	1	0	0

DRAFT

c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	42	14	27	0	1
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	30	10	17	3	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	53	24	24	5	0
c10. Staying or living with family	55	16	32	7	0
c11. Don't know / refused	2	1	1	0	0
c12. Information missing	41	18	22	0	1
cz. Total	320	120	182	15	3
d. Total	786	416	340	22	8

Q20. Non-Cash Benefits20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	362	66	210
b. WIC	75	11	69
c. TANF Child Care services	12	6	22
d. TANF transportation services	10	4	19
e. Other TANF-funded services	1	0	0
f. Other source	50	11	9

DRAFT

Q21. Health Insurance Q21

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance	704	11	411
b. MEDICARE health insurance	63	0	29
c. State Children's Health Insurance	8	0	1
d. VA Medical Services	18	0	11
e. Employer-provided health insurance	27	0	14
f. Health insurance through COBRA	1	0	1
g. Private pay health insurance	9	0	2
h. State Health Insurance for Adults	28	0	18
i. Indian Health Services Program	1	0	1
j. Other	6	0	4
k. No health insurance	199	1	50
l. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0
m. Data not collected	119	161	19
n. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment	0	532	0
o. 1 source of health insurance	756	11	442
p. More than 1 source of health insurance	52	0	21

DRAFT

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG projects

Q22a2

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days	215	154	61
b. 8 to 14 days	112	82	30
c. 15 to 21 days	57	46	11
d. 22 to 30 days	76	53	23
e. 31 to 60 days	228	133	95
f. 61 to 90 days	212	78	134
g. 91 to 180 days	187	29	158
h. 181 to 365 days	30	10	20
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	193	21	172
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	7	6	1
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0
o. Total	1317	612	705

DRAFT

Q22c. RRH Length of time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	138	56	82	0	0
b. 8-14 days	16	2	14	0	0
c. 15-21 days	15	3	12	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	9	1	8	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	3	0	3	0	0
f. 61 to 180 days	1	0	1	0	0
g. 181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	147	20	127	0	0
j. Total	**329**	**82**	**247**	**0**	**0**

Q22d. Length of Participation by

Household type Q22d

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0 to 7 days	215	96	109	1	9
b. 8 to 14 days	112	31	80	0	1
c. 15 to 21 days	57	21	36	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	76	25	48	0	3
e. 31 to 60 days	228	54	171	3	0
f. 61 to 90 days	212	57	149	3	3
g. 91 to 180 days	187	83	100	3	1
h. 181 to 365 days	30	3	25	2	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	193	45	137	11	0
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	7	1	6	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
o. Total	1317	416	861	23	17

DRAFT

Q23. Exit Destination – More than 90 Days Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	7	1	6	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

az. Total	7	1	6	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	7	1	6	0	0

Q23a. Exit Destination—All persons Q23a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	18	4	14	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	6	0	6	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	212	25	177	1	9
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	11	2	9	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	44	4	40	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	5	3	2	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	31	4	27	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	9	7	2	0	0
az. Total	336	49	277	1	9

DRAFT

b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	12	5	6	0	1
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	37	8	29	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	23	8	15	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	26	13	13	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	26	26	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	13	0	13	0	0
bz. Total	137	60	76	0	1
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	1	0	1	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	2	2	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	3	2	1	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	2	1	1	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	8	5	3	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	35	33	0	0	2
d4. Don't know / refused	1	0	1	0	0
d5. Information missing	36	6	30	0	0
dz. Total	72	39	31	0	2
e. Total	553	153	387	1	12

Q23b. Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

Q23b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy	2	1	1	0	0
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry	5	1	4	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	4	0	4	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
e. Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	2	1	1	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	3	2	1	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	2	2	0	0	0

DRAFT

h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit	0	0	0	0	0

k. Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
l. Client died	0	0	0	0	0
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	26	3	22	0	1
o. Total	44	10	33	0	1

DRAFT

Q24. Exit Destination – 90 Days or Less Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	12	5	7	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0

a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	12	5	7	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	1	1	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	39	10	29	0	0
dz. Total	39	10	29	0	0
e. Total	52	16	36	0	0

25a. Number of Veterans Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	6	6	0	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	30	18	12	0
c. Not a veteran	700	386	312	2
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	3	2	1	0
e. Data Not Collected	18	4	12	2
f. Total	757	416	337	4

DRAFT

Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless	116	74	42	0	0
b. Not chronically homeless	1094	290	775	22	7
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	2	2	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	105	50	44	1	10

PR-28 Performance and Evaluation Report (PER) Adjustment Explanation

PR-28 Performance and Evaluation Report (PER) Adjustment Explanation

Florida Community Development Block Grant Report

For Program Year Ended June 30, 2017

General Comment

Adjustment lines were used to reconcile the state's accounting records to actual HUD draws.

DRAFT

PR-28 Performance and Evaluation Report

Please see next page.

DRAFT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2015
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B15DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,019,316.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$997,124.57
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	-$840,127.99
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$156,996.58
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,176,312.58

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$1,520,579.48
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$10,945,920.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$12,466,499.48
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$700,482.90
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$700,482.90
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$120,096.58
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$120,096.58
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$600,482.90

Grant Number B15DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	$0.00
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$997,124.57
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$997,124.57
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$840,127.99
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$840,127.99
26)	Retained by recipients	$997,124.57
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$997,124.57
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$454,761.06
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	-$454,761.06
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$0.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$950,500.08
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	$51,624.49
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$1,002,124.57

Grant Number B15DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,019,316.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$156,996.58
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,176,312.58
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$506,740.33
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$482,980.94
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$23,759.39
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,019,316.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$156,996.58
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,176,312.58
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	0.10%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$459,761.06
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,019,316.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	1.91%

Grant Number B15DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2015 – _____

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2015	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	898,520.8	898,520.8
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	74,844.3	74,844.3
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	973,365.1	973,365.1
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	973,365.1	973,365.1
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	454,761.0	454,761.0
80)	Technical Assistance	0.00	0.00
81)	Local Administration	51,979.2	51,979.2
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2014
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B14DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,130,014.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$82,912.57
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	-$28,897.94
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$54,014.63
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,184,028.63

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$22,537,253.94
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$92,760.06
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$22,630,014.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$703,250.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$703,250.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$120,650.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$120,650.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$603,250.00

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2014
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B14DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$137,091.48
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$137,091.48
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$83,076.85
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$83,076.85
26)	Retained by recipients	$82,912.57
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$82,912.57
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$703,250.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	-$703,250.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$0.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$3.65
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$3.65
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$7,123,017.18
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$94,531.16
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$7,028,486.02

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2014
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B14DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,130,014.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$54,014.63
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,184,028.63
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$1,688,766.01
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$1,677,566.01
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$11,200.00
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,130,014.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$54,014.63
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,184,028.63
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	0.05%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$1,688,766.01
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,130,014.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	7.00%

Grant Number B14DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2014 – _____

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2014	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	6,137,501.17	6,137,501.17
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	(6,011,609.69)	(6,011,609.69)
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	125,891.48	125,891.48
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	125,891.48	125,891.48
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	703,250.00	703,250.00
80)	Technical Assistance	3.65	3.65
81)	Local Administration	985,516.01	985,516.01
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2013
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B13DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,214,007.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$0.00
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$80,795.38
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$80,795.38
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$24,294,802.38

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$24,129,474.50
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$59,630.74
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$24,069,843.76
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$705,350.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$705,350.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$121,070.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$121,070.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$605,350.00

Grant Number B13DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$74,680.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$74,680.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$6,115.38
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$6,115.38
26)	Retained by recipients	$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$705,350.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$705,350.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$13,015.43
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$13,015.43
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$18,275,308.64
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$7,534,349.87
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$10,740,958.77

Grant Number B13DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,214,007.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$80,795.38
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$24,294,802.38
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$2,580,529.33
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$21,005.22
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,559,524.11
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,214,007.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$80,795.38
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$24,294,802.38
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	10.54%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$2,580,529.33
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,214,007.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	10.66%

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2013
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B13DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2013 – 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2013	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	16,400,129.31	16,400,129.31
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	(5,659,170.54)	(5,659,170.54)
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	10,740,958.77	10,740,958.77
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	10,740,958.77	10,740,958.77
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	705,350.00	705,350.00
80)	Technical Assistance	13,015.43	13,015.43
81)	Local Administration	1,875,179.33	1,875,179.33
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2012
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B12DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$22,887,374.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$73,193.11
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$29,080.12
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$102,273.23
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$22,989,647.23

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$17,115,500.14
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$5,771,873.86
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$22,887,374.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$672,184.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$672,184.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$114,437.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$114,437.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$572,184.00

Grant Number B12DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$434,966.49
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$434,966.49
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$332,693.26
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$332,693.26
26)	Retained by recipients	$73,193.11
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$73,193.11
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$672,184.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$672,184.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$56,859.26
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$57,577.74
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$114,437.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$15,478,391.72
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	$7,057,327.77
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$22,535,719.49

Grant Number B12DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$22,887,374.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$102,273.23
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$22,989,647.23
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$1,904,021.51
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	$88,114.46
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$1,992,135.97
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$22,887,374.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$102,273.23
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$22,989,647.23
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	8.67%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$1,904,021.51
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$22,887,374.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	8.32%

Grant Number B12DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2012 – 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2012	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	14,246,554.21	14,246,554.21
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	8,222,968.40	8,222,968.40
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	22,469,522.61	22,469,522.61
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	22,469,522.61	22,469,522.61
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	672,184.00	672,184.00
80)	Technical Assistance	56,859.26	56,859.26
81)	Local Administration	1,231,837.51	1,231,837.51
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2011
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B11DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$24,840,889.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$349,504.31
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	-$162,461.68
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$187,042.63
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$25,027,931.63

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$23,235,540.37
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	$1,605,348.63
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$24,840,889.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$721,022.23
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$721,022.23
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$124,204.44
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$124,204.44
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$621,022.23

Grant Number B11DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$469,886.38
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$469,886.38
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-$282,843.75
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-$282,843.75
26)	Retained by recipients	$349,504.31
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$349,504.31
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$721,022.23
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$721,022.23
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$124,204.44
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$124,204.44
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$20,360,587.59
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	$4,110,622.24
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$24,471,209.83

Grant Number B11DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,840,889.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$187,042.63
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$25,027,931.63
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$2,876,059.93
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$139,103.78
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$2,736,956.15
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$24,840,889.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$187,042.63
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$139,103.78
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$25,167,035.41
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	10.88%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$2,876,059.93
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$24,840,889.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	11.58%

Grant Number B11DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2011 – 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2011	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	18,205,549.89	18,205,549.89
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	6,247,668.82	6,247,668.82
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	24,453,218.71	24,453,218.71
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	24,453,218.71	24,453,218.71
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	721,022.23	721,022.23
80)	Technical Assistance	124,204.44	124,204.44
81)	Local Administration	2,155,037.70	2,155,037.70
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2010
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B10DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$29,565,984.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$78,569.93
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$233,331.26
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$311,901.19
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$29,877,885.19

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$37,994,423.75
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$8,428,439.75
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$29,565,984.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$839,149.60
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$839,149.60
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$147,829.92
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$147,829.92
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$739,149.60

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2010
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B10DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$118,688.32
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$118,688.32
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$193,212.87
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$193,212.87
26)	Retained by recipients	$78,569.93
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-$78,569.93
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$839,074.56
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$839,074.56
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$147,829.92
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$147,829.92
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$37,006,338.59
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$8,309,830.79
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$28,696,507.80

Grant Number B10DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$29,565,984.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$311,901.19
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$29,877,885.19
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$4,085,263.78
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$603,068.51
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$3,482,195.27
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$29,565,984.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$311,901.19
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$29,877,885.19
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	11.65%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$4,060,263.78
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$29,565,984.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	13.73%

Grant Number B10DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2010 – 0

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2010	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	33,760,149.37	33,760,149.37
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	(7,706,762.28)	(7,706,762.28)
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	26,053,387.09	26,053,387.09
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	26,053,387.09	26,053,387.09
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	839,074.56	839,074.56
80)	Technical Assistance	147,829.92	147,829.92
81)	Local Administration	3,246,189.22	3,246,189.22
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2009
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B09DC120001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	$28,531,157.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income receipted in IDIS	$0.00
3 a)	Program income receipted from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	$274,421.32
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	$274,421.32
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	$28,805,578.32

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	$31,614,721.85
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-$3,083,564.85
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	$28,531,157.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	$670,623.14
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	$670,623.14
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	$285,311.57
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	$285,311.57
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	$570,623.14

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2009
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B09DC120001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	$10,029.72
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	$10,029.72
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	$264,391.60
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	$264,391.60
26)	Retained by recipients	$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	$670,623.14
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	$670,623.14
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	$285,311.57
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	$285,311.57
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	$30,633,064.41
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-$3,047,812.40
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	$27,585,252.01

State of Florida
Performance and Evaluation Report
For Grant Year 2009
As of 08/18/2017

Grant Number B09DC120001

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap	
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	$28,531,157.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$274,421.32
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	$28,805,578.32
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	$3,584,431.75
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-$285,749.35
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	$3,298,682.40
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	$28,531,157.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	$274,421.32
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	$28,805,578.32
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	11.45%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	$2,933,373.14
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	$28,531,157.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	10.28%

Grant Number B09DC120001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2009 – _____

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]

	Grant Year	2009	Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	27,719,255.80	27,719,255.80
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	(2,762,063.05)	(2,762,063.05)
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	24,957,192.75	24,957,192.75
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	24,957,192.75	24,957,192.75
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00
79)	State Administration	670,623.14	670,623.14
80)	Technical Assistance	285,311.57	285,311.57
81)	Local Administration	2,913,808.61	2,913,808.61
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00