

# **New Subgrant Risk Assessment Checklist**

Recipient: Contract #:			
Answer the questions in sections I-IV and then refer to the instructions on pages 2-3 to determine the risk level for the subgrant. Check the appropriate boxes for the assessed risk and number of monitoring visits.			
Assessed Risk:		ttach monitoring plan.)	
Minimum number of on-site monitoring visits recommended:		□ 2 □ 3 □ 4	
I.	Administration	Check the appropriate box.	
1.	Is the Recipient using a consultant for administrative services?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
	a) If <i>yes</i> , does the consultant have CDBG experience?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
	b) If <i>no</i> , has the Recipient administered its own CDBG subgrants in the past?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
2.	Does the Recipient have staff members who have CDBG experience?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
3.	Has the Recipient maintained CDBG files properly in past subgrants?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
Comments:			
II. Program Operations			
1.	Were concerns raised during the site visit about program operations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
2.	Were past subgrants completed on time?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
3.	If a past subgrant had to be extended, was the justification reasonable?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
4.	Were funds drawn in a timely fashion during past subgrants?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
5.	Were reports filed on time?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
Comments:			



## **New Subgrant Risk Assessment Checklist**

6/26/2014

III. Audits		Check the appropriate box.
1.	Have past audits/audit certification letters been filed on time?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
2.	Were any CDBG-specific findings or concerns expressed in the most recent Technical Audit memo, or were any compliance issues mentioned that relate to the CDBG program?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Co	omments:	
I	V. Financial Management	
1.	Is there adequate separation of fiscal duties?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
2.	Does the Recipient keep adequate financial ledgers and other records?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
3.	Were financial monitoring reports from past subgrants free of findings?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
4.	Has the Governor's Office identified the Recipient as being in a <i>State of Financial Emergency?</i>	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Сс	omments:	

## **New Subgrant Risk Assessment Checklist**

## **Instructions for Assessing Risk**

#### Rate the subgrantee a *high risk* if:

- 1. There were CDBG-related findings and recommendations in the most recent audit, or
- 2. The Recipient is not using a consulting firm for administrative services, and it has never self-administered a CDBG subgrant before, or
- 3. The Recipient did not keep accurate financial records during past subgrants, or
- 4. The Recipient is using a consulting firm for administrative services that does not have CDBG experience, or
- 5. The Governor's Office has identified the Recipient as being in a State of Financial Emergency.

### Also rate the subgrantee a *high risk* if it meets at least three of the following conditions:

- 1. The Recipient does not have staff members who have CDBG experience.
- 2. A prior subgrant had two or more time extensions not related to job creation.
- 3. Financial monitorings from previous subgrants yielded findings.
- 4. Audits or audit certification letters were filed late.
- 5. The most recent technical audit memo had recommendations to improve financial management that were not CDBG-specific.
- 6. The Recipient does not have adequate separation of fiscal duties.
- 7. The site visit raised concerns that indicate additional monitoring will be needed.
- 8. Required reports were not filed on time during a past grant.

#### Rate the subgrantee a *moderate risk* if it meets two of the following conditions:

- 1. The Recipient does not have staff members who have CDBG experience.
- 2. A prior subgrant had two or more time extensions not related to job creation.
- 3. Financial monitorings from previous subgrants yielded findings.
- 4. Audits or audit certification letters were filed late.
- 5. The most recent technical audit memo had recommendations to improve financial management that were not CDBG-specific.
- 6. The Recipient does not have adequate separation of fiscal duties.
- 7. The site visit raised concerns that indicate additional monitoring will be needed.
- 8. Required reports were not filed on time during a past grant.

Rate the subgrantee a *low risk* if it did not meet the criteria for a high risk or a moderate risk.

If a Recipient is determined to be a *high risk*, the grant manager will develop a monitoring plan to track the Recipient's progress. The monitoring plan will be attached to this checklist. The plan should include a combination of regular phone calls to the Recipient or its consultant, e-mail communications, and on-site visits to check on the progress of the subgrant activities. Most high risk subgrants should receive at least three on-site monitoring visits. However, if the Recipient completes activities as scheduled in its work plan and submits reports and Requests for Funds in a timely manner, the grant manager can re-evaluate how many monitoring visits are needed. The risk level can be adjusted during the subgrant period if the Recipient shows good progress in developing capacity or completing project activities.