This case comes before the Commission for disposition of an appeal of the
decision of a reemployment assistance appeals referee pursuant to Section
443.151(4)(c), Florida Statutes. The referee’s decision stated that a request for
review should specify any and all allegations of error with respect to the referee’s
decision, and that allegations of error not specifically set forth in the request for
review may be considered waived.

Upon consideration, the Commission finds that the appeal of the referee’s
decision was timely filed. The Commission has jurisdiction to decide the case.

Upon appeal of an examiner’s determination, a referee schedules a hearing.
Parties are advised prior to the hearing that the hearing is their only opportunity to
present all of their evidence in support of their case. It is the referee’s responsibility
to develop the hearing record, weigh the evidence, resolve conflicts in the evidence,
and render a decision supported by competent and substantial evidence. While
hearsay evidence is admissible at a hearing, it can only be used to supplement or
explain other evidence, and is not sufficient in itself to support a finding of fact
unless admissible over objection in a civil action. Fla. Admin. Code R. 73B-
20.024(3)(d). Such evidence, in fact, may not be considered as “competent evidence.”
The “Appeals Information” pamphlet provided to the parties prior to the hearing
placed them on notice that “the best type of evidence is testimony from someone who
was present when an event occurred and can answer specific questions about what
happened” and that documents or affidavits standing alone are normally regarded as
“hearsay” and may be insufficient to prove a case.
By law, the Commission’s review is limited to those matters that were presented to the referee and are contained in the official record. A decision of an appeals referee cannot be overturned by the Commission if the referee’s material findings are supported by competent and substantial evidence and the decision comports with the legal standards established by the Florida Legislature. The Commission cannot reweigh the evidence or consider additional evidence that a party could have reasonably been expected to present to the referee during the hearing. Additionally, it is the responsibility of the appeals referee to judge the credibility of the witnesses and to resolve conflicts in evidence, including testimonial evidence. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Commission cannot substitute its judgment and overturn a referee’s conflict resolution.

The parties were advised prior to the hearing that the hearing was their only opportunity to present all of their evidence in support of their case to the referee. Having considered all arguments raised on appeal and having reviewed the hearing record, the Commission concludes that no legal basis exists to reopen or supplement the record by the acceptance of any additional evidence sent to the Commission or to remand the case for further proceedings.

The Commission concludes the record adequately supports the referee’s material findings and the referee’s conclusion is a correct application of the pertinent laws to the material facts of the case. In order to not be disqualified for benefits under reemployment assistance law, an employee who resigns employment must have “good cause,” which includes only that cause attributable to the employing unit which would compel a reasonable employee to cease working, or attributable to the individual’s illness or disability requiring separation from his or her work. §443.101(1)(a)1, Fla. Stat.

In Uniweld Products, Inc. v. Industrial Relations Comm., 77 So. 2d 827, 829 (Fla. 4th DCA 1973), the court held that “[t]o voluntarily leave employment for good cause [attributable to the employer], the cause must be one which would reasonably impel the average able-bodied qualified worker to give up his or her employment.” In establishing an objective test, the court noted that “[t]he applicable standards are the standards of reasonableness as applied to the average man or woman, and not to the supersensitive.” Id. In that case, the claimant resigned due to the business owner’s routine yelling and screaming at her, along with other employees. The court held that such conduct was not sufficient to meet the reasonableness test. The Commission notes that an employee is not expected to tolerate physical abuse, or a serious threat thereof, but in this case, the referee could properly draw an inference based on the evidence that there was no such threat and that the claimant did not have good cause, especially since the individual involved was not her primary supervisor.
Even if any employee may have good cause to quit, “[w]henever feasible, an individual is expected to expend reasonable efforts to preserve his employment.” *Glenn v. Florida Unemployment Appeals Comm.*, 516 So. 2d 88, 89 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987). After the second incident, claimant gave her supervisor an ultimatum, and did not go to human resources. She quit the same day of the incident. Again, the record evidence is sufficient that the referee could reasonably have concluded that claimant failed to take reasonable steps to preserve her employment.

The referee's decision is affirmed.

It is so ordered.
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Important appeal rights are explained at the end of this decision.
Derechos de apelación importantes son explicados al final de esta decisión.
Yo eksplike kèk dwa dapèl enpòtan lan fen desizyon sa a.

Issues Involved:
SEPARATION: Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct connected with work or voluntarily left work without good cause as defined in the statute, pursuant to Sections 443.101(1), (9), (10), (11); 443.036(30), Florida Statutes; Rule 73B-11.020, Florida Administrative Code.

Findings of Fact: The claimant began working as a temp April 13, 2012. The claimant had another supervisor in another department yell at her on January 20, 2013. The claimant reported the incident to her supervisor. The supervisor said he would talk to the other supervisor. On February 27, 2013, the same supervisor who bothered the claimant the first time hit the claimant’s desk with a stick. The claimant did not speak to another supervisor or human resources. The claimant instead quit on February 27, 2013.

Conclusions of Law: The law provides that a claimant who voluntarily left work without good cause as defined in the statute will be disqualified for benefits. "Good cause" includes only cause attributable to the employing unit or illness or disability of the claimant requiring separation from the work. However, a claimant who voluntarily left work to return immediately when called to work by a permanent employing unit that
temporarily terminated the claimant’s work within the previous 6 calendar months, or to relocate due to a military-connected spouse's permanent change of station, activation, or unit deployment orders, is not subject to this disqualification.

Since the claimant left employment for reasons other than those listed above, Florida Reemployment Assistance law requires disqualification. Although the claimant’s reasons for leaving the job may have been personally compelling, they do not meet the qualification requirements for Reemployment Assistance benefits.

**Decision:** The determination dated April 2, 2013, is AFFIRMED.

If this decision disqualifies and/or holds the claimant ineligible for benefits already received, the claimant will be required to repay those benefits. The specific amount of any overpayment will be calculated by the department and set forth in a separate overpayment determination, unless specified in this decision. However, the time to request review of this decision is as shown above and is not stopped, delayed or extended by any other determination, decision or order.

This is to certify that a copy of the above decision was mailed to the last known address of each interested party on May 2, 2013.
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Appeals Referee
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**IMPORTANT - APPEAL RIGHTS:** This decision will become final unless a written request for review or reopening is filed within 20 calendar days after the mailing date shown. If the 20th day is a Saturday, Sunday or holiday defined in F.A.C. 73B-21.004, filing may be made on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday or holiday. If this decision disqualifies and/or holds the claimant ineligible for benefits already received, the claimant will be required to repay those benefits. The specific amount of any overpayment will be calculated by the Department and set forth in a separate overpayment determination. However, the time to request review of this decision is as shown below and is not stopped, delayed or extended by any other determination, decision or order.

A party who did not attend the hearing for good cause may request reopening, including the reason for not attending, at https://iap.floridajobs.org/ or by writing to the address at the top of this decision. The date the confirmation number is generated will be the filing date of a request for reopening on the Appeals Web Site.
A party who attended the hearing and received an adverse decision may file a request for review to the Reemployment Assistance Appeals Commission, Suite 101 Rhyme Building, 2740 Centerview Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-4151; (Fax: 850-488-2123; https://raaciap.floridajobs.org/. If mailed, the postmark date will be the filing date. If faxed, hand-delivered, delivered by courier service other than the United States Postal Service, or submitted via the Internet, the date of receipt will be the filing date. To avoid delay, include the docket number and claimant’s social security number. A party requesting review should specify any and all allegations of error with respect to the referee’s decision, and provide factual and/or legal support for these challenges. Allegations of error not specifically set forth in the request for review may be considered waived.

**IMPORTANTE - DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN:** Esta decisión pasará a ser final a menos que una solicitud por escrito para revisión o reapertura se registre dentro de 20 días de calendario después de la fecha marcada en que la decisión fue remitida por correo. Si el vigésimo (20) día es un sábado, un domingo o un feriado definidos en F.A.C. 73B-21.004, el registro de la solicitud se puede realizar en el día siguiente que no sea un sábado, un domingo o un feriado. Si esta decisión descalifica y/o declara al reclamante como indelevible para recibir beneficios que ya fueron recibidos por el reclamante, se le requerirá al reclamante rembolsar esos beneficios. La cantidad específica de cualquier sobreprecio [pago excesivo de beneficios] será calculada por la Agencia y establecida en una determinación de pago excesivo de beneficios que será emitida por separado. Sin embargo, el límite de tiempo para solicitar la revisión de esta decisión es como se establece anteriormente y dicho límite no es detenido, demorado o extendido por ninguna otra determinación, decisión u orden.

Una parte que no asistió a la audiencia por una buena causa puede solicitar una reapertura, incluyendo la razón por no haber comparecido en la audiencia, en https://iap.floridajobs.org/ o escribiendo a la dirección en la parte superior de esta decisión. La fecha en que se genera el número de confirmación será la fecha de registro de una solicitud de reapertura realizada en el Sitio Web de la Oficina de Apelaciones.

Una parte que asistió a la audiencia y recibió una decisión adversa puede registrar una solicitud de revisión con la Comisión de Apelaciones de Desempleo; Reemployment Assistance Appeals Commission, Suite 101 Rhyme Building, 2740 Centerview Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-4151; (Fax: 850-488-2123; https://raaciap.floridajobs.org/. Si la solicitud es enviada por correo, la fecha del sello de la oficina de correos será la fecha de registro de la solicitud. Si es enviada por telefax, entregada a mano, entregada por servicio de mensajería, con la excepción del Servicio Postal de Estados Unidos, o realizada vía el Internet, la fecha en la que se recibe la solicitud será la fecha de registro. Para evitar demora, incluya el número de expediente [docket number] y el número de seguro social del reclamante. Una parte que solicita una revisión debe especificar cualquiera y todos los alegatos de error con respecto a la decisión del árbitro, y proporcionar fundamentos reales y/o legales para sustanciar estos desafíos. Los alegatos de error que no se establezcan con especificidad en la solicitud de revisión pueden considerarse como renunciados.

**ENPÔTAN – DWA DAPÈL:** Desizyon sa a ap definitive sòf si ou depoze yon apèl nan yon delè 20 jou apre dat nou poste sa a ba ou. Si 20ème jou a se yon samdi, yon dimanch oswa yon jou konje, jan sa defini lan F.A.C. 73B-21.004, depo an kapab fèt jou aprè a, si se pa yon samdi, yon dimanch oswa yon jou konje. Si desizyon an diskalifeye epi/oswa deklare moun k ap fè demann lan pa kalifye pou alokasyon li resevwa deja, moun k ap fè demann lan ap gen pou li remèt lajan li te resevwa a. Se Ajan lan k ap kalkile montan nempòt ki peman anplis epi y ap détèmine sa lan yon desizyon separe. Sepandian, delè pou mande revizyon desizyon sa a se delè yo bay anwo a; Okenn lòt detèminasyon, desizyon oswa lòd pa ka retè, retate oubyen pwolonye dat sa a.

Yon pati ki te gen yon rezon valab pou li pat asiste seyans lan gen dwa mande pou yo ouvri ka a ankò; fòk yo bay rezon yo pat ka vini an epi fè demann nan sou sitwèb sa a, https://iap.floridajobs.org/ oswa alekri nan adrè a. Dat yo pwoudi nimewo konfimasyon an se va dat yo prezante demann nan pou reouvrì kòz la sou Sitwèb Apèl la.
Yon pati ki te asiste seyans la epi ki pat satisfè desízyon yo te pran an gen dwa mande yon revizyon nan men Reemployment Assistance Appeals Commission, Suite 101 Rhyne Building, 2740 Centerview Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-4151; (Fax: 850-488-2123); [https://raaciap.floridajobs.org/](https://raaciap.floridajobs.org/). Si ou voye l pa lapòs, dat ki sou tenb la ap dat ou depoze apèl la. Si ou depoze apèl la sou yon sitwèb, ou fakse li, bay li men nan lamen, oswa voye li pa yon sèvis mesajri ki pa Sèvis Lapòs Lèzetazini (*United States Postal Service*), oswa voye li pa Entènèt, dat ki sou resi a se va dat depo a. Pou evite reta, mete nimewo rejis la (*docket number*) avèk nimewo sekirite sosyal moun k ap fè demann lan. Yon pati k ap mande revizyon dwe presize nenpòt ki alegasyon erè nan kad desízyon abit la, epi bay baz reyèl oubyen legal pou apiye alegasyon sa yo. Yo p ap pran an konsiderasyon alegasyon erè ki pa byen presize nan demann pou revizyon an.

---

Any questions related to benefits or claim certifications should be referred to the Claims Information Center at 1-800-204-2418. An equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Voice telephone numbers on this document may be reached by persons using TTY/TDD equipment via the Florida Relay Service at 711.